Cadernos de Pós-Graduação em Direito Político e Econômico
Published by: Centro de Estudos Acadêmicos Press
ISSN: 1678-2127
Volume 26 *Issue 1,* 2026
Website: https://ceapress.org

The International Conventional Framework for Combating Corruption and Its Enforcement Mechanisms "A Study in Effectiveness and Challenges"

SEBAA Fahima
Lecturer (B) , University of Batna 1
fahima.sebaa@univ-batna.dz

Received: 19/12/2025 Accepted: 06/03/2026 Published: 29/05/2026

Abstract.

This article examines the international regulation of corruption offenses by analyzing its evolution from a purely domestic concern to a comprehensive international legal framework based on multilateral conventions and enforcement mechanisms. The study highlights that, due to financial globalization and the increasing cross-border flow of capital, corruption has become a transnational phenomenon requiring coordinated international responses, primarily embodied in the United Nations Convention against Corruption as the main global legal framework in this field. The article further explores key enforcement mechanisms, particularly international judicial cooperation, asset recovery, and the role of international and regulatory bodies, emphasizing their importance in translating legal commitments into practical measures. However, the study concludes that the effectiveness of this system remains limited due to several constraints, including weak international enforceability, divergence of legal systems, difficulties in asset recovery, and the influence of political and sovereignty considerations on international cooperation. Accordingly, the fight against corruption at the international level remains dependent on strengthening enforcement mechanisms and enhancing genuine political will toward binding international cooperation.

Keywords: International Corruption; Judicial Cooperation; Asset Recovery; UNCAC; Global Governance.

Cadernos de Pós-Graduação em Direito Político e Econômico
Published by: Centro de Estudos Acadêmicos Press
ISSN: 1678-2127
Volume 26 *Issue 1,* 2026
Website: https://ceapress.org

Introduction

Recent decades have witnessed a marked escalation in the phenomenon of corruption, which has long since ceased to be confined to the domestic sphere. It has instead assumed an increasingly transnational character, enabled by advances in communications technology and the liberalisation of economic exchanges. This development has substantially complicated the patterns of corruption-related offences — particularly those involving multinational corporations and international financial transfers — to a degree that now surpasses the capacity of any single state to address effectively.

In response to these transformations, a growing international momentum has emerged toward the internationalisation of anti-corruption efforts through the conclusion of a series of international conventions aimed at harmonising standards of criminalisation and reinforcing inter-state cooperation. At the forefront of these efforts stands the United Nations Convention against Corruption, which represented a qualitative leap in establishing a comprehensive legal framework for addressing this phenomenon.

The adoption of these conventions does not, however, automatically guarantee effectiveness on the ground, since their implementation remains contingent upon a range of factors — including the degree of state compliance, divergences between national legal systems, and the political and economic considerations that may impede international cooperation.

Against this background, the present study raises the following central research question:

> ***To what extent has the international regulation of corruption offences succeeded in transitioning from the mere conclusion of conventions to the achievement of actual and effective implementation?***

To address this question, the article is structured around two main sections: the first is devoted to an examination of the international conventional framework for combating corruption, while the second analyses enforcement mechanisms and the limits of their effectiveness.

The International Conventional Framework for Combating Corruption

The increasingly transnational character of corruption offences has given rise to an evident need for international regulation — one capable of establishing uniform standards of criminalisation and reinforcing cooperation among states. This orientation found expression in the conclusion of a series of international conventions that have collectively constituted the primary legal framework for combating corruption at the global level.

Analysing this framework requires, first, an account of the evolution of international concern with corruption offences, followed by a review of the most significant international conventions in this field .

1.1. The Evolution of International Concern with Corruption Offences

Corruption was not, at the outset, the subject of direct international regulation; it was regarded as a domestic matter bound up with the structure and sovereignty of the state.

Cadernos de Pós-Graduação em Direito Político e Econômico
Published by: Centro de Estudos Acadêmicos Press
ISSN: 1678-2127
Volume 26 *Issue 1,* 2026
Website: https://ceapress.org

However, the profound transformations that reshaped the global economy — particularly with the expansion of financial and commercial globalisation — led to a fundamental reconceptualisation of corruption. It gradually came to be understood as a phenomenon with international dimensions that transcend the boundaries of any single state, whether in terms of the actors involved, the consequences generated, or the proceeds flowing therefrom.

1.1.1. The Conceptual Transformation of Corruption: From an Internal Ethical Issue to a Crime of International Dimension

Corruption was historically associated with moral and administrative conceptions internal to the state, where it was understood as a breach of the duties of public office or an abuse of authority. This conception began to shift, however, with the development of international economic law, as corruption became increasingly and directly linked to the mechanisms of the global market and foreign investment. This transformation contributed to a redefinition of corruption as an organised economic crime rather than an isolated individual act of misconduct — particularly as international economic actors entered the picture, chief among them multinational corporations. Corruption was no longer a mere dysfunction in public administration; it had become part of the 'structure of global economic incentives' that influence investment decisions and the allocation of resources.[1]

Corruption, moreover, is the product of a structural equation resting on the monopolisation of power combined with an absence of transparency and weak accountability a condition that demands deep institutional reform rather than mere punitive measures.[2]

This conceptual transformation constituted an essential precondition for corruption's migration from the domain of domestic law to the sphere of international concern.

1.1.2. Financial and Commercial Globalisation as a Decisive Factor in the Internationalisation of Corruption

Economic globalisation represented a pivotal turning point in the evolution of corruption offences. It facilitated the liberalisation of capital flows, the ease of cross-border transactions, and the emergence of complex financial systems that render the concealment and tracing of illicit funds exceptionally difficult.

In this context, corruption became associated with three principal phenomena:

- Multinational corporations;
- Tax havens;
- Cross-border banking systems.

These factors were instrumental in transforming corruption from a localised practice into a complex international network. A World Bank report has observed that corruption costs the global economy hundreds of billions of dollars annually, weakening institutional effectiveness and eroding investor confidence.[3]

[1]Rose-Ackerman, Susan. Corruption and Government: Causes, Consequences, and Reform. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1999, p. 27.

[2]Klitgaard, Robert. Controlling Corruption. University of California Press, Berkeley, 1988, p. 75.

[3]World Bank. Helping Countries Combat Corruption: The Role of the World Bank. Washington D.C., 2000, p. 2.

Cadernos de Pós-Graduação em Direito Político e Econômico
Published by: Centro de Estudos Acadêmicos Press
ISSN: 1678-2127
Volume 26 *Issue 1,* 2026
Website: https://ceapress.org

The researcher Daniel Kaufmann has further noted that globalisation did not merely expand the scope of corruption; it changed its very nature, rendering it more professionalised, more structured, and more reliant upon international financial networks.[4]

Consequently, corruption is no longer local in either its causes or its consequences; it has become a networked, transnational phenomenon that demands international instruments to address it effectively.

1.1.3. The Emergence of Corruption as an International Issue: From a Partial to a Comprehensive Approach

As the effects of corruption on development and stability became increasingly apparent, international organisations began to reinsert this phenomenon into their agendas. It was no longer viewed merely as a moral or administrative question, but as a direct obstacle to economic development and the rule of law. Institutions such as the United Nations, the World Bank, and the International Monetary Fund contributed to the recognition of corruption as a structural problem affecting the global economy, and not solely developing countries. The real turning point in anti-corruption efforts came in the 1990s, when international awareness crystallised around the understanding that corruption could not be addressed by national means alone, but required organised multilateral cooperation.[5]

The literature on global governance further affirms that corruption became associated with the concepts of 'state fragility' and 'institutional weakness,' which drove its incorporation into the international reform agenda.

1.1.4. International Legal Codification: From Awareness to Positive Law

This gradual evolution culminated in the transition of the international community from mere awareness of the gravity of corruption to an attempt to codify it through binding international conventions — the most significant of which was the United Nations Convention against Corruption, which constituted a genuine turning point in the trajectory of international anti-corruption efforts.

This Convention did not confine itself to criminalising certain forms of corruption; it established a comprehensive framework encompassing:

- Prevention;
- Criminalisation;
- International cooperation;
- Asset recovery.

This architecture reflects the transition from a partial to a holistic approach to combating corruption.

In light of the foregoing, the evolution of international concern with corruption offences was not a sudden development; it was the product of a gradual process in which economic transformations associated with globalisation intersected with the reconceptualisation of corruption in legal terms. The result was a global recognition that this offence is no longer a

[4]Kaufmann, Daniel. 'Corruption: The Facts.' Foreign Policy, No. 107, 1997, p. 115.

[5]Pieth, Mark. Recovering Stolen Assets. Peter Lang, Bern, 2008, p. 14.

domestic matter, but a complex international phenomenon requiring multilateral cooperation and shared legal instruments — a recognition that paved the way for the emergence of comprehensive international conventions in this field.

1.2. The Principal International Conventions on Combating Corruption

Addressing corruption offences can no longer be achieved through national laws alone, particularly given the expanding transnational scope of such offences and their entanglement with the global economy and international financial networks. The international community has accordingly moved toward a contractual approach based on the conclusion of international conventions aimed at harmonising legal concepts and standards related to corruption, and at reinforcing inter-state cooperation in the areas of prevention, criminalisation, the tracing of illicit assets, and their recovery.

This process has been characterised by the emergence of a set of international conventions that vary in force and scope, but share a common objective: the construction of a global system for combating corruption.

1.2.1. The OECD Convention on Combating Bribery of Foreign Public Officials (1997)

The 1997 OECD Convention on Combating Bribery of Foreign Public Officials in International Business Transactions constitutes a significant step in narrowing the scope of corruption in its cross-border economic dimension. The significance of this Convention lies in its focus on a specific and precise aspect of corruption: bribery in international business transactions — particularly that practised by multinational corporations in order to secure advantages in foreign markets. The Convention imposed upon States Parties an obligation to criminalise the offering or promise of a bribe to foreign public officials, treating such conduct as a criminal offence under national law, thereby contributing to the harmonisation of criminal standards among the major industrialised nations. Nor was the Convention confined to criminalisation alone; it also encouraged the reinforcement of compliance mechanisms within corporations through the adoption of internal governance and anti-corruption programmes, reflecting the integration of the private sector into the anti-corruption framework.[6]

The limitations of this Convention are nevertheless apparent in its sectoral character: it focuses primarily on international bribery without addressing the full range of other forms of corruption, and it remains essentially associated with OECD member states, which limits its universal reach relative to UNCAC.[7]

1.2.2. The United Nations Convention against Corruption (UNCAC, 2003)

The United Nations Convention against Corruption is the most significant milestone in the development of international anti-corruption law. Adopted in 2003 and entering into force in 2005, it constitutes the first comprehensive global framework addressing corruption as a complex phenomenon with legal, economic, and institutional dimensions. What distinguishes

[6]OECD. Combating Bribery of Foreign Public Officials. 2021, p. 9.

[7]Bacigalupo, E. International Anti-Corruption Instruments. 2015, p. 112.

Cadernos de Pós-Graduação em Direito Político e Econômico
Published by: Centro de Estudos Acadêmicos Press
ISSN: 1678-2127
Volume 26 *Issue 1,* 2026
Website: https://ceapress.org

this Convention from other international instruments is its adoption of a multidimensional, holistic approach — one that extends beyond the mere criminalisation of corrupt acts to encompass an integrated anti-corruption system.[8]

In the domain of prevention, the Convention obliged States Parties to develop national anti-corruption policies, strengthen transparency in public service, adopt integrity standards in appointment and administration, and establish specialised bodies or agencies for the prevention and combating of corruption.

In the domain of criminalisation and law enforcement, the Convention broadened the range of criminalised conduct to include both domestic and international bribery, embezzlement of public funds, trading in influence, illicit enrichment in certain legal systems, and the laundering of criminal proceeds — reflecting an attempt to establish a minimum common standard of criminalisation across different legal orders.

In the domain of international cooperation, the Convention enshrined the principle of judicial and administrative cooperation between states through such mechanisms as mutual legal assistance, extradition, and the exchange of information — reflecting a recognition that corruption offences no longer respect the boundaries of individual states.

Most distinctive of all, however, is the Convention's unprecedented emphasis on asset recovery, to which it dedicated an entire chapter, treating it as a 'fundamental principle' of anti-corruption rather than a subsidiary procedural measure. In this respect, the Convention represents a qualitative transformation in international criminal and economic law, because it was the first instrument to link anti-corruption efforts with the recovery of stolen assets within a single legal framework.[9]

Notwithstanding its comprehensive scope, the Convention's practical effectiveness remains a matter of ongoing debate, since it relies heavily upon the willingness of states to implement its provisions domestically, in the absence of stringent international enforcement mechanisms.

1.2.3. Regional Anti-Corruption Conventions

Alongside the global framework, several regional anti-corruption conventions have emerged, including the African Union Convention on Preventing and Combating Corruption, the Arab Anti-Corruption Convention, and relevant European frameworks. These instruments reflect the desire of different geographical regions to adapt international principles to their specific political and economic contexts, and to reinforce regional cooperation in areas requiring rapid response — such as the exchange of information, the tracing of assets, and judicial cooperation. These conventions suffer, however, from considerable unevenness in the level of commitment and application, as member states' capacities vary significantly. The coordination between these regional instruments and the global framework, moreover, is not always at the required level of effectiveness, sometimes producing a form of legal fragmentation in the face of corruption. The African Union report has noted that the African

[8]United Nations Office on Drugs and Crime (UNODC). United Nations Convention against Corruption. New York, 2004.

[9]Pieth, Mark. op. cit., p. 25.

Cadernos de Pós-Graduação em Direito Político e Econômico
Published by: Centro de Estudos Acadêmicos Press
ISSN: 1678-2127
Volume 26 *Issue 1,* 2026
Website: https://ceapress.org

Convention aims to strengthen cooperation among African states in the fields of prevention, criminalisation, and asset recovery.[10]

It may be concluded that international anti-corruption conventions — and, at their apex, the United Nations Convention against Corruption — have constituted a qualitative development in the construction of a global legal framework for addressing this phenomenon, through the transition from partial and limited treatment to a comprehensive approach combining prevention, criminalisation, international cooperation, and asset recovery. Yet this legislative development, despite its importance, continues to encounter implementation challenges associated with the weakness of international enforcement, divergent political will, and differing institutional capacities among states — which renders the effectiveness of this framework dependent on its practical application more than on any deficiency in its legal formulation.

2. Enforcement Mechanisms for Combating Corruption at the International Level and the Limits of Their Effectiveness

The regulation of anti-corruption efforts no longer consists solely in the adoption of legal rules in the form of international conventions; the real challenge lies in the capacity of these rules to achieve practical effect. The nature of corruption offences — complex, organised, and transnational — renders enforcement a decisive element in any assessment of the effectiveness of the international legal system as a whole. International experience has shown that the gap between 'legal adoption' and 'actual implementation' remains wide. This has spurred the development of increasingly sophisticated judicial, financial, and administrative cooperation mechanisms, designed to translate international commitments into workable and enforceable practical measures. In this context, the literature on global governance affirms that combating corruption is not achieved through texts alone, but requires 'international enforcement networks' that transcend the traditional boundaries of the state.[11]

2.1. International Judicial Cooperation in Combating Corruption Offences

International judicial cooperation constitutes the most important practical framework for confronting corruption offences, since it represents the bridge connecting the various national jurisdictions in respect of crimes that are inherently cross-border in nature. Modern corruption is no longer committed within the boundaries of a single state; it typically extends across the state where the act is committed, the state where the proceeds are concealed, and the state that serves as a safe haven for the offenders. The effectiveness of anti-corruption efforts is therefore closely bound up with the capacity of states to coordinate with one another in investigation, prosecution, and enforcement.

2.1.1. Mutual Legal Assistance

Mutual legal assistance constitutes the primary procedural pillar of judicial cooperation; it represents the framework that enables states to request and execute investigative procedures

[10]African Union. Convention on Preventing and Combating Corruption. 2003, Art. 2.

[11]World Bank. Governance and Anti-Corruption Strategy. 2012, p. 5.

Cadernos de Pós-Graduação em Direito Político e Econômico
Published by: Centro de Estudos Acadêmicos Press
ISSN: 1678-2127
Volume 26 *Issue 1,* 2026
Website: https://ceapress.org

across borders such as gathering evidence, hearing witnesses, freezing accounts, and executing search and seizure orders.

The United Nations Convention against Corruption accorded central importance to this mechanism, devoting Articles 46 to 50 to it and obliging states to afford 'the widest measure of mutual legal assistance' in corruption cases — a formulation that reflects the relatively binding character of this mechanism within the Convention.

In practice, however, deep structural complexities are evident, chief among them:

- The divergence of legal systems between states (civil law versus common law);
- The slowness of diplomatic channels in processing requests;
- The requirement, in certain states, of reciprocity;
- Restrictions linked to sovereignty and the protection of financial data.

Mutual legal assistance in cross-border offences further suffers from a 'procedural fragmentation' that renders international cooperation uneven in terms of both speed and effectiveness. United Nations studies indicate that the average processing time for mutual legal assistance requests in corruption cases may stretch to months, or even years in some instances a factor that seriously undermines the efficacy of urgent judicial intervention.[12]

2.1.2. Extradition: Between the Principle of Sovereignty and the Requirements of International Justice

Extradition is among the most sensitive forms of judicial cooperation, since it bears directly on the principle of state sovereignty while simultaneously representing an indispensable tool for preventing perpetrators of corruption from evading accountability. The United Nations Convention against Corruption addressed this principle, affirming the obligation of states to extradite persons accused or convicted of corruption offences, subject to the condition of dual criminality.

In practice, however, the application of this principle encounters a range of constraints, including:

- Constitutional protections for citizens in certain states that prohibit the extradition of nationals;
- The potentially political character of certain high-profile corruption cases;
- Differences in the definition of offences between legal systems;
- The invocation of 'non-extradition on humanitarian or human rights grounds' as a legal bar.

The international extradition system, moreover, rests to a significant degree upon political and legal trust between states rather than merely on the existence of written agreements.[13]

The effectiveness of this mechanism therefore remains relative, particularly in cases of financial complexity or those with indirect political dimensions.

2.1.3. The Exchange of Financial Intelligence and Asset Tracing

[12]Mitsilegas, Valsamis. Transnational Criminal Law. Cambridge University Press, 2016, p. 218.

[13]Shearer, I.A. Extradition in International Law. Manchester University Press, 1971, p. 110.

Cadernos de Pós-Graduação em Direito Político e Econômico
Published by: Centro de Estudos Acadêmicos Press
ISSN: 1678-2127
Volume 26 *Issue 1,* 2026
Website: https://ceapress.org

Tracing the proceeds of corruption is one of the most technically demanding aspects of international cooperation, given the intricate nature of the global financial system and the variety of instruments employed by offenders to conceal the origins of funds — including shell companies, numbered accounts, and multi-layered transfers across multiple jurisdictions.

The Financial Action Task Force (FATF) plays a pivotal role in setting international standards for combating money laundering, which constitute the reference framework for tracing illicit funds associated with corruption. The FATF Recommendations emphasise the importance of identifying the 'beneficial owner' of funds and of strengthening the transparency of financial transactions, treating these as essential tools for combating illicit financial flows.[14]

In practice, however, implementation faces mounting challenges, chief among them:

- Absolute banking secrecy in certain jurisdictions;
- The use of encrypted digital currencies;
- Weak information-sharing between financial institutions;
- Disparities in supervisory standards across international financial systems.

World Bank reports indicate that a substantial portion of corruption proceeds is never recovered, owing to the complexity of international financial pathways and the difficulty of tracing them.[15]

International judicial cooperation thus constitutes the backbone of the global anti-corruption system, serving as the mechanism that translates conventional texts into tangible practical measures. Yet this cooperation continues to suffer from structural challenges associated with the plurality of legal systems, the sluggishness of procedures, and the complexity of international financial networks — a state of affairs that makes its effectiveness largely contingent upon the political will of states and their capacity to transcend traditional sovereignty considerations in favour of a logic of organised judicial cooperation.

2.2. The Recovery of Stolen Assets as a Central Mechanism in Combating Corruption

The recovery of stolen assets is among the most serious and consequential challenges confronting the international anti-corruption system — not only because it represents the ultimate objective of large-scale financial crimes, but also because it reflects the capacity of the international legal system to restore rights to their legitimate owners. Corruption rarely confines itself to the criminal act alone; it typically extends to the transfer of the proceeds through complex, cross-border financial networks, rendering the processes of tracing and recovery exceptionally demanding.

The United Nations Convention against Corruption accorded particular prominence to this dimension, dedicating Chapter V in its entirety to asset recovery, which it characterised as a 'fundamental principle' of anti-corruption rather than a supplementary procedural measure.

[14]Financial Action Task Force (FATF). International Standards on Combating Money Laundering and the Financing of Terrorism and Proliferation. 2012, p. 11.

[15]World Bank. Stolen Asset Recovery Initiative (StAR). 2011, p. 3.

Cadernos de Pós-Graduação em Direito Político e Econômico
Published by: Centro de Estudos Acadêmicos Press
ISSN: 1678-2127
Volume 26 *Issue 1,* 2026
Website: https://ceapress.org

2.2.1. The Distinctive Nature of Stolen Asset Recovery

The recovery of corruption proceeds is characterised by a compound nature that simultaneously encompasses legal, financial, and diplomatic dimensions. It does not hinge solely upon the delivery of a criminal conviction; it extends to subsequent stages involving the localisation of assets, the tracing of their movements, the demonstration of their illicit origin, and the judicial demand for their repatriation. It is notable that this process typically requires cooperation among multiple states, as funds are transferred from the country of origin to intermediary jurisdictions and then to financial havens known for the secrecy of their banking systems. The World Bank, in its Stolen Asset Recovery (StAR) Initiative, has confirmed that the recovery of stolen assets is among the most difficult phases of anti-corruption efforts, and that a substantial proportion of funds stolen globally is never repatriated, owing to procedural complexity and the multiplicity of competing jurisdictions.[16]

2.2.2. The International Legal Framework for Asset Recovery

The international legal framework — with UNCAC at its apex — constitutes the regulatory foundation for asset recovery, with the Convention adopting an approach premised on judicial cooperation and mutual legal assistance as the primary entry point for the restitution of assets. The Convention established a set of mechanisms, including:

- The freezing and seizure of suspicious funds;
- Recognition of foreign judicial judgments;
- Cooperation in the tracing of financial assets;
- Repatriation of funds to the injured state.

The incorporation of asset recovery into the Convention represented a qualitative transformation in international law, as it elevated corruption from a crime that is merely punished to a crime whose economic consequences are also reversed.[17]

This legal framework, however comprehensive, remains bound by the willingness of states in which the assets are located to cooperate — a dependence that significantly constrains its practical effectiveness.

2.2.3. Practical Obstacles to the Recovery of Stolen Assets

The asset recovery process faces a complex array of obstacles that render it among the most difficult phases of anti-corruption efforts. These may be classified at several levels. The World Bank report, within the StAR Initiative, has noted that the absence of international financial transparency is one of the principal reasons for the low rates of asset recovery globally:[18]

- **Legal Obstacles.** These consist in the divergence of legal systems between states, particularly with respect to the burden of proof, the definition of illicit funds, and the requirements and complexities of judicial procedures.

[16]World Bank & UNODC. Stolen Asset Recovery Initiative (StAR). 2011, p. 4.

[17]Pieth, Mark. op. cit., p. 33.

[18]World Bank & UNODC. op. cit., p. 6.

Cadernos de Pós-Graduação em Direito Político e Econômico
Published by: Centro de Estudos Acadêmicos Press
ISSN: 1678-2127
Volume 26 *Issue 1,* 2026
Website: https://ceapress.org

- **Financial and Technical Obstacles.** These include the use of complex banking networks, shell companies, multi-layered accounts, and modern digital transfers, all of which compound the difficulty of tracing.
- **Financial Haven Obstacles.** Certain states function as financial havens offering high levels of banking secrecy, thereby impeding the identification of funds and frustrating cooperation in their recovery.

2.2.4. The Political and Diplomatic Dimension of Asset Recovery

Asset recovery cannot be divorced from its political and diplomatic context; the process is frequently influenced by the state of relations between countries, by sovereignty considerations, and by the level of bilateral or multilateral cooperation. In many cases, the recovery process evolves into a complex negotiation that transcends the purely judicial domain, making success a function of political will as much as of legal entitlement.

It is thus evident that the recovery of stolen assets constitutes the most sensitive cornerstone of the international anti-corruption system — the mechanism that embodies the ultimate objective of returning public funds to their legitimate sources. Yet despite the legal development achieved within the framework of UNCAC, this mechanism continues to face significant challenges arising from the complexity of international financial networks, the divergence of legal systems, and political considerations, all of which render its practical effectiveness limited relative to its theoretical importance.

2.3. The Role of International and Supervisory Bodies in Combating Corruption

Combating corruption is no longer the exclusive domain of states acting individually, or of international conventions alone. It has come to rely increasingly upon international and supervisory bodies tasked with monitoring, standard-setting, and assessing the degree of state compliance with international obligations. These bodies are of particular importance because they represent the 'technical arm' of the international anti-corruption system, operating at the level of evaluation and practical influence rather than merely at the level of legal rules. Their roles overlap between standard-setting, monitoring, technical assistance, and the periodic evaluation of state policies.

2.3.1. The United Nations and the Monitoring Mechanisms under UNCAC

The United Nations Convention against Corruption is the primary reference of the international anti-corruption system and has established a monitoring mechanism known as the 'Implementation Review Mechanism,' designed to assess the extent to which States Parties comply with the Convention's provisions. This mechanism operates through periodic peer reviews conducted by fellow states, in which national legislation is analysed and assessed for conformity with the Convention's provisions, and recommendations for improvement are issued. The UNODC report affirms that this mechanism has contributed to raising legislative awareness among states and to promoting the convergence of national laws in the field of anti-corruption.[19]

[19]UNODC. Implementation Review Mechanism Report. 2017, p. 5.

Cadernos de Pós-Graduação em Direito Político e Econômico
Published by: Centro de Estudos Acadêmicos Press
ISSN: 1678-2127
Volume 26 *Issue 1,* 2026
Website: https://ceapress.org

This mechanism is sometimes criticised, however, for its reliance on voluntary cooperation and its lack of genuine coercive authority — a deficiency that limits its enforcement effectiveness.

2.3.2. The World Bank and the International Monetary Fund

Both the World Bank and the International Monetary Fund have played an increasingly important role in integrating anti-corruption considerations into economic governance policies. The granting of loans and financial assistance has become conditional upon the existence of institutional reforms aimed at strengthening transparency, combating corruption, and improving public financial management. World Bank reports have noted that weak governance and corruption reduce the effectiveness of development investments and weaken the impact of international aid.[20]

The International Monetary Fund, for its part, has adopted an approach premised on integrating financial integrity standards into its economic assessments of states — particularly with respect to fiscal transparency and public budget management.

2.3.3. The Financial Action Task Force (FATF)

The Financial Action Task Force (FATF) is one of the most important regulatory bodies in the international system for combating financial crimes associated with corruption — particularly money laundering and the financing of illicit activities. The Task Force establishes international standards known as the 'Forty Recommendations,' which oblige states to criminalise money laundering, strengthen financial transparency, and identify the beneficial owner of financial transactions. These standards have contributed to raising the level of oversight of the global financial system, particularly with respect to banks and non-bank financial institutions. Some researchers note, however, that the effectiveness of FATF remains contingent upon the capacity of states for genuine compliance with the Recommendations, rather than merely formal adoption.[21]

2.3.4. Non-Governmental Organisations and Informal Oversight

Alongside intergovernmental bodies, the role of non-governmental organisations in monitoring corruption and publishing evaluative reports has gained prominence. Foremost among these is Transparency International, which has become one of the most significant non-governmental actors in this field. The organisation publishes the Corruption Perceptions Index (CPI), which has become a global benchmark for assessing levels of corruption in states — despite the methodological criticisms directed at it. These organisations also contribute to pressuring governments to adopt legal and institutional reforms through media and technical reporting.[22]

[20]World Bank. Governance and Development. 2012, p. 8.

[21]Middle East and North Africa Financial Action Task Force (MENAFATF). Mutual Evaluation Report of the Kingdom of Morocco: Anti-Money Laundering and Counter-Terrorist Financing Measures. April 2019, p. 146.

[22]Musleh, Abeer. Integrity, Transparency and Accountability in Combating Corruption [al-Nazaha wa-l-Shafafiyya wa-l-Masa'iliyya fi Muwajahit al-Fasad]. The Integrity and Accountability Coalition (AMAN), Jerusalem, Palestine, 2007, p. 88.

Cadernos de Pós-Graduação em Direito Político e Econômico
Published by: Centro de Estudos Acadêmicos Press
ISSN: 1678-2127
Volume 26 *Issue 1,* 2026
Website: https://ceapress.org

It is thus apparent that international and supervisory bodies have become essential elements of the anti-corruption system. Their role no longer consists merely in technical support; it has extended to evaluation, monitoring, and the shaping of public policy. Yet the effectiveness of these bodies remains relatively constrained by the absence of direct coercive authority and their heavy reliance on the voluntary cooperation of states — a feature that renders their function closer to 'normative pressure' than to binding legal enforcement.

2.4. The Limits of the Effectiveness of International Regulation in Combating Corruption

Despite the considerable development that the international regulation of corruption has undergone in terms of conventions, mechanisms, and institutions, its practical effectiveness continues to face a set of structural limitations that reduce its capacity to produce decisive results. These limitations manifest in the weakness of international enforcement, the divergence of legal systems, the difficulties of asset recovery, and the political and sovereignty considerations that directly influence the quality of international cooperation. Numerous studies have converged on the view that 'corruption continues to outpace and out-adapt the international legal mechanisms designed to combat it.'[23]

2.4.1. The Weakness of International Enforcement

The weakness of international enforcement is one of the most prominent deficiencies afflicting the international regulation of corruption. Most international conventions — and UNCAC in particular — rely on the principle of voluntary cooperation between states, without stringent punitive mechanisms in the event of non-compliance. The Convention, despite its universal character, does not impose direct sanctions on states that fail to implement its provisions; it relies instead solely on mechanisms of periodic review and evaluation. This renders compliance with the Convention closer to a 'political-ethical' obligation than to a binding legal commitment. International law in the field of anti-corruption suffers from a 'consensual nature' that makes its implementation contingent upon the will of states rather than upon a binding supranational authority.[24]

The absence of a compulsory international judicial organ therefore makes it difficult to impose genuine compliance with the provisions of the conventions.

2.4.2. The Divergence of National Legal Systems

The divergence of legal systems between states represents one of the principal obstacles to the effectiveness of international anti-corruption regulation. States differ in their definitions and criminalisation of corrupt acts, as well as in the criminal procedures associated with them. Some states operate under the civil law tradition based on codification, while others follow the common law tradition based on judicial precedent — a difference that generates divergent legal characterisations of corrupt conduct. Certain acts, moreover — such as illicit enrichment — may be criminalised in one state but not in another, which complicates extradition proceedings

[23]World Bank. Governance and Anti-Corruption Strategy. 2012, p. 7.

[24]Dixon, Martin. Textbook on International Law. Oxford University Press, 2013, p. 312.

Cadernos de Pós-Graduação em Direito Político e Econômico
Published by: Centro de Estudos Acadêmicos Press
ISSN: 1678-2127
Volume 26 *Issue 1,* 2026
Website: https://ceapress.org

and judicial cooperation alike. The plurality of legal systems further creates legal gaps exploited by perpetrators of cross-border offences.[25]

2.4.3. Difficulties in the Recovery of Stolen Assets

Despite the advanced legal framework dedicated to asset recovery under UNCAC, practical reality reveals significant difficulties impeding the repatriation of stolen funds.

These difficulties consist in:

- The complexity of international financial networks;
- The multiplicity of financial intermediaries;
- The use of shell companies and secret accounts;
- The protracted nature of judicial procedures in foreign states.

The World Bank's Stolen Asset Recovery Initiative (StAR) has noted that the proportion of assets recovered globally in corruption cases remains very low relative to the volume of funds illicitly transferred.[26]

Researcher Mark Pieth further observes that asset recovery frequently becomes a complex political process rather than a purely legal procedure.[27]

2.4.4. Political and Sovereignty Considerations

Political and sovereignty considerations constitute one of the most important non-legal obstacles to the international fight against corruption, as judicial cooperation and asset recovery are frequently influenced by the political relations between states. In many instances, certain states withhold full cooperation in corruption cases when the parties concerned enjoy political or economic influence, or when the requests conflict with their strategic interests. The principle of national sovereignty is sometimes invoked to restrict judicial cooperation, to refuse extradition, or to decline the freezing of assets. International law in the field of criminal cooperation remains, as a result, 'captive to the political considerations of states' rather than constituting a neutral legal system.[28]

An analysis of enforcement mechanisms and international cooperation reveals that the international anti-corruption system, despite its considerable development in terms of norms, mechanisms, and institutions, continues to confront structural limitations that bear upon its effectiveness. These consist in the weakness of international enforcement, the divergence of legal systems, the difficulty of asset recovery, and the dominance of political and sovereignty considerations. This situation renders the international fight against corruption a complex undertaking that demands not only the development of legal texts, but also the reinforcement of political will, the harmonisation of standards, and the development of more rigorous and effective enforcement mechanisms.

[25]Boister, Neil. An Introduction to Transnational Criminal Law. Oxford University Press, 2012, p. 146.

[26]World Bank & UNODC. op. cit., p. 5.

[27]Pieth, Mark. op. cit., p. 41.

[28]Shearer, I.A. Extradition in International Law. Manchester University Press, 1971, p. 118.

Cadernos de Pós-Graduação em Direito Político e Econômico
Published by: Centro de Estudos Acadêmicos Press
ISSN: 1678-2127
Volume 26 *Issue 1,* 2026
Website: https://ceapress.org

Conclusion

An analysis of the trajectory of international regulation in combating corruption offences reveals that this phenomenon has transitioned from being a domestic matter subject to state sovereignty to a complex global problem in which legal, economic, and political dimensions are intertwined. The accelerating developments in the global economic system — particularly financial globalisation and the growing movement of capital across borders — have reinforced the transnational character of corruption offences, compelling the international community to reconstruct its legal and institutional system for addressing them.

In this context, the United Nations Convention against Corruption represented a decisive turning point in the development of international anti-corruption law, establishing a comprehensive framework integrating prevention, criminalisation, international cooperation, and asset recovery. The enforcement mechanisms — whether judicial, financial, or regulatory — have likewise contributed to reinforcing coordination between states and to developing tools for tracing assets and prosecuting perpetrators of these offences.

Yet this legislative and institutional development, despite its importance, continues to face fundamental challenges that constrain its effectiveness — chief among them the weakness of international enforcement, the divergence of national legal systems, the complexity of stolen asset recovery, and the influence of political and sovereignty considerations on the level of international cooperation. This renders the international anti-corruption system an incomplete system — one that relies heavily on the political will of states rather than on the intrinsic force of its legal norms.

It may accordingly be concluded that the effectiveness of the international regulation of corruption is measured not merely by the abundance of texts and conventions, but by the capacity of those instruments to be transformed into effective enforcement mechanisms capable of achieving deterrence, recovering assets, and strengthening transparency at the global level.

The study has arrived at a number of conclusions, the most notable being that corruption is no longer a mere internal administrative irregularity, but has become a complex international phenomenon with far-reaching economic and financial implications that transcend state borders. The international anti-corruption framework has undergone significant development through the adoption of multilateral conventions — foremost among them UNCAC — yet this development remains limited in effectiveness in the absence of stringent international enforcement mechanisms, the plurality of legal systems, and the difficulty of tracing and recovering stolen assets, compounded by the dominance of political considerations over the trajectory of international cooperation.

In light of these findings, the study puts forward a number of recommendations, the most important of which are: the need to reinforce the binding character of international conventions through the development of more stringent follow-up mechanisms; the broadening of international judicial cooperation in a manner that reduces procedural complexities and ensures the prompt exchange of information; and the harmonisation of certain fundamental legal concepts relating to corruption at the international level. It is also desirable to strengthen the

capacity of developing countries in the field of asset tracing and recovery, to enhance the transparency of the global financial system so as to reduce the use of tax havens, and to minimise the influence of political considerations on judicial cooperation — all of which would enable the construction of a more balanced and effective international system for combating corruption.

References

- Musleh, Abeer. Integrity, Transparency and Accountability in Combating Corruption. The Integrity and Accountability Coalition (AMAN), Jerusalem, Palestine, 2007.
- Middle East and North Africa Financial Action Task Force (MENAFATF). Mutual Evaluation Report of the Kingdom of Morocco: Anti-Money Laundering and Counter-Terrorist Financing Measures. April 2019.
- United Nations Office on Drugs and Crime (UNODC). United Nations Convention against Corruption. New York, 2004.
- African Union. Convention on Preventing and Combating Corruption. 2003.
- Bacigalupo, E. International Anti-Corruption Instruments. 2015.
- Boister, Neil. An Introduction to Transnational Criminal Law. Oxford University Press, 2012.
- Dixon, Martin. Textbook on International Law. Oxford University Press, 2013.
- Financial Action Task Force (FATF). International Standards on Combating Money Laundering and the Financing of Terrorism and Proliferation. 2012.
- Kaufmann, Daniel. 'Corruption: The Facts.' Foreign Policy, No. 107, 1997.
- Klitgaard, Robert. Controlling Corruption. University of California Press, Berkeley, 1988.
- Mitsilegas, Valsamis. Transnational Criminal Law. Cambridge University Press, 2016.
- OECD. Combating Bribery of Foreign Public Officials. 2021.
- Pieth, Mark. Recovering Stolen Assets. Peter Lang, Bern, 2008.
- Rose-Ackerman, Susan. Corruption and Government: Causes, Consequences, and Reform. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1999.
- Shearer, I.A. Extradition in International Law. Manchester University Press, 1971.
- UNODC. Implementation Review Mechanism Report. 2017.
- World Bank & UNODC. Stolen Asset Recovery Initiative (StAR). 2011.
- World Bank. Governance and Anti-Corruption Strategy. 2012.
- World Bank. Governance and Development. 2012.
- World Bank. Helping Countries Combat Corruption: The Role of the World Bank. Washington D.C., 2000.