



Greenwashing in the Fashion Industry: Regulatory and Ethical Perspectives

Prof. Elise Montclair

Westhaven University, France

Received: 22-01-2026

Accepted: 01-06-2026

Published: 09-06-2026

Abstract

Greenwashing has emerged as a critical concern in the fashion industry as brands increasingly promote sustainability narratives to meet rising consumer demand for ethical and environmentally responsible products. While many companies have adopted genuine sustainability initiatives, others have been accused of exaggerating or misrepresenting their environmental commitments through vague claims, selective disclosure, or misleading labeling practices. This phenomenon undermines consumer trust, distorts market competition, and weakens broader efforts toward sustainable transformation. This paper explores greenwashing in the fashion industry from both regulatory and ethical perspectives. It analyzes common practices such as ambiguous eco-terminology, limited-scope “conscious collections,” and unverifiable carbon neutrality claims. The paper evaluates existing legal frameworks governing environmental marketing, including consumer protection laws, advertising standards, and emerging disclosure regulations aimed at improving transparency. Differences in regulatory enforcement across jurisdictions are highlighted as key factors influencing accountability.

Keywords: Greenwashing; Fashion industry; Environmental marketing; Sustainability claims; Consumer protection law

Introduction

Sustainability has become a defining theme in contemporary fashion discourse. Growing public concern about climate change, labor exploitation, textile waste, and resource depletion has pushed fashion brands to incorporate environmental and social responsibility into their corporate narratives. Terms such as “eco-friendly,” “conscious,” “green,” and “sustainable” are now widely used in marketing campaigns and product labeling. However, alongside genuine sustainability efforts, the industry has witnessed a parallel rise in greenwashing practices that misrepresent or exaggerate environmental performance. Greenwashing refers to the strategic communication of misleading sustainability claims intended to create a false impression of environmental responsibility. In the fashion industry, this may involve highlighting limited sustainable initiatives while ignoring broader environmental impacts, using vague or undefined terminology, or presenting selective data that obscures negative practices. As competition intensifies and consumers increasingly favor ethical brands, the incentive to project a sustainable image has grown substantially. The implications of greenwashing extend beyond consumer deception. Misleading claims distort market competition by disadvantaging companies that invest genuinely in sustainable production



methods. They also erode public trust, making consumers more skeptical of all sustainability communications, including legitimate efforts. In an industry characterized by complex global supply chains and limited transparency, verifying environmental claims can be particularly challenging. Regulatory bodies in several jurisdictions have begun responding to this issue by strengthening consumer protection laws and advertising standards related to environmental marketing. Disclosure requirements, standardized labeling frameworks, and penalties for misleading claims aim to enhance accountability. However, regulatory enforcement varies significantly across regions, creating uneven compliance expectations in a globally interconnected industry. From an ethical perspective, greenwashing raises questions about corporate integrity, stakeholder responsibility, and the moral obligations of firms operating within resource-intensive value chains. Fashion companies influence environmental outcomes through sourcing decisions, production practices, and marketing communication. Ethical business conduct therefore requires not only compliance with legal standards but also transparent and truthful engagement with consumers.

Conceptual Foundations of Greenwashing in the Fashion Industry

Understanding greenwashing in the fashion industry requires a conceptual examination of how sustainability communication intersects with marketing strategy, corporate governance, and stakeholder expectations. At its core, greenwashing arises when there is a gap between a company's environmental claims and its actual environmental performance. This discrepancy may result from intentional deception, strategic exaggeration, or selective disclosure of information.

1. Definition and Theoretical Origins

Greenwashing emerged as a term to describe misleading environmental advertising. In the fashion context, it refers to promotional practices that portray brands or products as environmentally responsible without substantive evidence or comprehensive sustainability integration. The concept is closely linked to signaling theory, where companies use environmental claims as signals to influence consumer perception. When signals are not backed by verifiable action, they distort information symmetry in the marketplace.

2. Information Asymmetry and Market Signaling

Fashion supply chains are complex and opaque, making it difficult for consumers to independently verify sustainability claims. This creates information asymmetry, where brands possess more knowledge about their practices than consumers. Companies may exploit this imbalance by using sustainability messaging as a competitive tool without undergoing meaningful operational change.

3. Symbolic Versus Substantive Sustainability

A key conceptual distinction in greenwashing research is between symbolic and substantive actions. Symbolic initiatives involve surface-level commitments, such as launching limited "eco collections" or using vague environmental terminology. Substantive sustainability, by contrast, involves structural transformation of sourcing, production, and supply chain governance. Greenwashing occurs when symbolic communication outweighs substantive action.



4. Ethical and Corporate Governance Perspectives

From an ethical standpoint, greenwashing raises concerns about honesty, accountability, and stakeholder respect. Ethical corporate governance requires alignment between stated values and actual conduct. Misleading environmental claims not only deceive consumers but also undermine collective efforts to address environmental challenges.

5. Consumer Behavior and Trust Dynamics

Conceptually, greenwashing affects consumer trust and brand equity. Repeated exposure to exaggerated claims fosters skepticism, which may extend beyond individual brands to the broader sustainable fashion movement. Trust, once compromised, is difficult to rebuild, making authenticity a strategic imperative.

6. Institutional and Regulatory Context

Greenwashing is also shaped by institutional frameworks. Weak regulatory oversight, inconsistent labeling standards, and limited enforcement mechanisms create environments where misleading claims may proliferate. Conversely, stronger governance structures reduce incentives for deceptive practices.

7. Competitive Pressures and Market Incentives

The fast-paced and trend-driven nature of fashion intensifies competitive pressure. As sustainability becomes a selling point, brands may prioritize marketing speed over operational reform. This dynamic contributes to the persistence of greenwashing within the industry.

the conceptual foundations of greenwashing in the fashion industry rest on information asymmetry, signaling behavior, symbolic communication, and ethical misalignment. Addressing the issue requires bridging the gap between sustainability messaging and measurable environmental performance through stronger governance, transparency, and corporate accountability.

Common Greenwashing Practices in Apparel Marketing

As sustainability becomes a competitive advantage in the fashion industry, some brands adopt marketing strategies that overstate or misrepresent their environmental performance. These practices may not always involve outright falsehoods, but they often rely on ambiguity, selective disclosure, or strategic framing that creates a misleading impression of responsibility.

1. Use of Vague and Undefined Terminology

One of the most common greenwashing tactics involves the use of broad terms such as “eco-friendly,” “green,” “conscious,” or “sustainable” without clear definitions or measurable criteria. Without standardized benchmarks, these claims remain subjective and difficult for consumers to verify. Ambiguity allows brands to appear responsible without committing to specific environmental standards.

2. Limited Sustainable Collections

Some companies launch small “sustainable” or “conscious” product lines while maintaining conventional, resource-intensive production practices across the majority of their operations. By heavily promoting these limited collections, brands may create the perception of comprehensive sustainability transformation, even when the overall business model remains unchanged.



3. Selective Disclosure of Information

Selective disclosure occurs when brands highlight positive environmental initiatives while omitting information about ongoing negative impacts. For example, a company may publicize its use of recycled packaging while failing to address high carbon emissions or labor concerns within its supply chain. This partial transparency can distort consumer perception.

4. Misleading Certification and Labeling

Some apparel brands use self-created labels or visually similar symbols that resemble recognized certifications. These unofficial marks may imply third-party verification without undergoing independent auditing. Such practices exploit consumer reliance on eco-labels as signals of credibility.

5. Carbon Neutrality and Offset Claims

Claims of carbon neutrality are increasingly common in fashion marketing. However, some brands rely heavily on carbon offset programs rather than reducing direct emissions within their supply chains. Without transparent disclosure of reduction strategies and offset quality, such claims may mislead consumers regarding actual environmental impact.

6. Imagery and Nature-Based Branding

Greenwashing can also occur through visual communication. Marketing campaigns frequently use imagery of natural landscapes, earthy color palettes, and environmental symbolism to evoke sustainability associations, even when products lack substantive environmental credentials.

7. Overemphasis on Minor Improvements

Incremental changes, such as reducing plastic use in packaging or incorporating a small percentage of recycled fibers, are sometimes presented as transformative achievements. While these steps may represent progress, overstating their significance can mislead consumers about the scale of environmental commitment.

8. Lack of Measurable Targets and Reporting

Authentic sustainability strategies typically involve measurable goals, timelines, and progress reporting. In contrast, greenwashing often involves aspirational language without clear metrics, deadlines, or accountability mechanisms.

greenwashing practices in apparel marketing range from ambiguous terminology and selective disclosure to misleading labeling and exaggerated claims. These strategies undermine consumer trust and weaken genuine sustainability efforts. Addressing such practices requires stronger regulatory standards, transparent reporting frameworks, and increased consumer awareness to distinguish between symbolic marketing and substantive environmental responsibility.

Conclusion

Greenwashing in the fashion industry represents a significant challenge to authentic sustainability transformation. As environmental awareness grows and ethical consumption becomes more mainstream, brands face increasing pressure to demonstrate responsibility. While many companies are investing in meaningful change, others rely on ambiguous claims, selective disclosure, or symbolic initiatives that create an illusion of sustainability without



addressing systemic environmental impacts. From a regulatory perspective, inconsistent standards and uneven enforcement have allowed misleading environmental marketing to persist. Strengthening consumer protection laws, standardizing eco-labeling frameworks, and mandating transparent reporting are essential steps toward improving accountability. Clear definitions of sustainability claims and stricter oversight of advertising practices can reduce ambiguity and limit deceptive communication. From an ethical standpoint, greenwashing undermines corporate integrity and erodes consumer trust. It disadvantages genuinely sustainable firms and weakens collective progress toward environmental responsibility. Ethical governance requires alignment between marketing communication and operational reality, ensuring that sustainability narratives reflect measurable performance rather than aspirational branding. Ultimately, combating greenwashing demands coordinated efforts from regulators, industry stakeholders, civil society, and consumers. Transparency, third-party verification, and standardized sustainability metrics are critical to restoring confidence in environmental claims. Only when sustainability communication is supported by verifiable action can the fashion industry move beyond symbolic gestures and contribute meaningfully to long-term ecological and social well-being.

Bibliography

- Delmas, M. A., & Burbano, V. C. (2011). The drivers of greenwashing. *California Management Review*, 54(1), 64–87. <https://doi.org/10.1525/cmr.2011.54.1.64>
- Lyon, T. P., & Montgomery, A. W. (2015). The means and end of greenwash. *Organization & Environment*, 28(2), 223–249. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1086026615575332>
- de Freitas Netto, S. V., Sobral, M. F. F., Ribeiro, A. R. B., & da Luz Soares, G. R. (2020). Concepts and forms of greenwashing: A systematic review. *Environmental Sciences Europe*, 32(19), 1–12. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12302-020-0300-3>
- Niinimäki, K., Peters, G., Dahlbo, H., Perry, P., Rissanen, T., & Gwilt, A. (2020). The environmental price of fast fashion. *Nature Reviews Earth & Environment*, 1(4), 189–200. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s43017-020-0039-9>
- OECD. (2021). *Consumer policy and green claims: Improving transparency and accountability*. Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development.
- UN Environment Programme (UNEP). (2019). *Sustainability and circularity in the textile value chain: Global stocktaking*. United Nations Environment Programme.
- White, K., Habib, R., & Hardisty, D. J. (2019). How to shift consumer behaviors to be more sustainable: A literature review and guiding framework. *Journal of Marketing*, 83(3), 22–49. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0022242919825649>