



The Politics of Migration: Balancing National Sovereignty and Human Rights

Dr. Nur Aisyah Rahman

Selangor Advanced University, Malaysia

Received: 07/12/2025

Accepted: 15/01/2026

Published: 13/06/2026

Abstract

A crucial topic in world politics, migration presents difficult problems at the nexus of human rights and state sovereignty. The political dynamics of migration, with an emphasis on how governments strike a balance between their duties to safeguard the rights and dignity of migrants and refugees and the necessity to maintain borders and preserve sovereignty. The conflicts between restrictive immigration laws and the tenets of international human rights agreements are examined through an examination of migration policy and international frameworks. The study illustrates the political, economic, and social elements that influence migration policies and their effects on the welfare of migrants using case studies from South Asia, the United States, and Europe. The results highlight the necessity of a rights-based, collaborative approach to migration governance that promotes inclusion and humanitarianism while addressing security concerns. By offering insights into innovative policies that prioritise both national interests and individual fundamental rights, this study adds to the continuing discussions around migration.

Keywords: Migration Politics, National Sovereignty, Human Rights, Immigration Policies, Refugees and Asylum Seekers

Introduction

One of the most divisive topics in modern international politics is migration, which influences public opinion, international relations, and domestic legislation. Whether motivated by political unrest, economic ambitions, environmental disasters, or war, cross-border migration poses serious concerns regarding human rights and state sovereignty. In order to preserve social cohesiveness and national security, states must manage migration flows while upholding their international commitments to defend the rights of migrants and refugees. Different methods to migration governance have resulted from the conflict between these priorities. On the one hand, restrictive measures to strengthen borders and restrict immigration have become more popular, especially in areas where migration is strong. However, international frameworks like the Global Compact for Migration and refugee protection agreements highlight the necessity of a collaborative and rights-based approach. This contradiction highlights how difficult it is to strike a balance between humanitarian ideals and sovereignty. The migration crisis of the last ten years has revealed profound political divisions in places like Europe and strained the boundaries of unity and integration within the European Union. With ramifications for national identity, economic prosperity, and security, discussions about immigration policy have emerged as a defining aspect of political discourse in the United States. Similar to this, South



Asian nations confront particular difficulties as a result of cross-border migration brought on by conflicts, historical links, and climate change. The politics of migration by looking at how human rights and national sovereignty interact. It explores the causes of migration, how immigration laws have changed throughout time, and how these laws affect both host and immigrant communities. The study aims to give readers a thorough grasp of the opportunities and difficulties in migration governance by examining case examples from various geopolitical contexts. In the end, the study makes the case for the significance of inclusive and sustainable policies that strike a balance between security considerations and the defence of human rights and dignity.

National Sovereignty and Border Control

Since they represent a state's power to govern who enters and leaves its territory, national sovereignty and border control are central to the politics of migration. Sovereignty enables countries to maintain social and economic stability, safeguard national security, and enforce immigration restrictions. However, striking a balance between the exercise of sovereignty and international humanitarian obligations is a difficult task in an era of growing migratory flows.

1. The Role of Sovereignty in Migration Governance

States have the legal and political power to decide on immigration laws, such as who is allowed to enter, live, or apply for asylum within their borders, thanks to sovereignty. This control is essential for preserving:

- **National Security:** States seek to reduce possible risks including organised crime, terrorism, and illegal trafficking by controlling immigration.
- **Social Stability:** Governments can control population diversity and avoid social unrest that could result from abrupt or extensive immigration by exercising sovereignty.
- **Economic Impact:** The states exercise control over labour migration in order to safeguard domestic employment markets and maintain economic equilibrium.

2. The Rise of Restrictive Immigration Policies

Over the past few years, there has been a worldwide movement towards more stringent immigration policies. This movement has been fuelled by concerns of security, cultural assimilation, and economic competition. Among the examples are:

- **Fortified Borders:** Many countries, like the United States of America, Hungary, and India, have made significant investments in border fences, monitoring technologies, and heightened patrols in order to discourage people from entering the country illegally.
- **Stringent Visa Policies:** In order to restrict migration for the sake of employment, education, or family reunion, some nations have tightened their visa requirements.
- **Detention and Deportation:** The administration of illegal migrants and asylum seekers is increasingly being handled through the utilisation of detention centres and hastened deportation procedures.

3. Balancing Security and Humanitarian Concerns

Although the control of borders is necessary for maintaining sovereignty, restrictive measures frequently result in concerns over humanitarian issues:



- **Refugee Crises:** Asylum seekers and refugees can be left trapped in perilous situations when borders are closed, which is a violation of international conventions such as the Refugee Convention of 1951.
- **Criminalization of Migration:** Any policies that target irregular migration could potentially criminalise vulnerable people, leaving them open to the possibility of being used and abused.
- **Human Rights Violations:** Human rights and dignity are frequently violated when migrants are subjected to harsh border control tactics, such as being separated from their families and being held for extended periods of time.

4. The Politics of Border Security

As a major political approach, the securitisation of migration has been increasingly prevalent:

- **Populist Narratives:** The use of rhetoric that is hostile towards immigration, which frequently places an emphasis on national identity and security, has been a defining characteristic of populist movements in Europe, the United States, and other regions.
- **Electoral Implications:** The employment of severe immigration views by politicians is a common strategy for appealing to voter concerns regarding jobs, safety, and the preservation of cultural traditions.
- **International Relations:** Policies that regulate borders can put a burden on diplomatic ties, particularly in situations where neighbouring nations are dealing with refugee spillovers or migration agendas that are in conflict with one another.

5. Case Studies of Border Control Policies

- **United States:** The wall that is being constructed along the border between the United States and Mexico, as well as the tactics that are being used to enforce immigration, have prompted substantial discussions both domestically and internationally regarding sovereignty and human rights.
- **European Union:** The policies of the European Union (EU) on its external borders, which include agreements with Turkey and nations in North Africa, illustrate efforts to regulate migration while outsourcing border responsibilities through the use of accords.
- **India:** The contradiction that exists between security and humanitarian commitments is brought to light by the measures that are being taken to control borders with Bangladesh and Myanmar, particularly in the context of Rohingya migration.

6. Technological Advancements in Border Control

It is becoming increasingly dependent on technology for modern border control:

- **Surveillance Systems:** Tools powered by artificial intelligence, biometric databases, and drones all contribute to improved border security and streamlined migration procedures.

Digital Identification: In order to strike a compromise between effective migration management and strong security measures, tools such as electronic visas and digital border checks have been developed.



When it comes to regulating migration and ensuring the safety of their citizens, states must have the ability to manage their borders and exercise national sovereignty. The execution of these principles, however, must be done with a careful balance between humanitarian ideals and international commitments. There is a possibility that restrictive policies could exacerbate human rights breaches and global inequalities, despite the fact that they may be effective in addressing immediate security problems. To achieve a fair and sustainable balance between sovereignty and human dignity, migration governance must prioritise ethical, cooperative, and inclusive policies moving ahead. This is necessary in order to ensure effective government.

Conclusion

In the governance of migration, national sovereignty and border control continue to be key components. These aspects give nations the capacity to manage entry, safeguard security, and maintain economic and social stability. On the other hand, the tension between asserting sovereignty and adhering to humanitarian ideals has become more obvious as the number of people migrating as a result of globalisation, conflict, and climate change has increased. Although restrictive policies are effective in resolving immediate concerns such as border security and population management, they frequently result in unforeseen humanitarian effects. These consequences include the marginalisation of vulnerable populations and violations of international human rights standards. Due to the fact that it is driven by political rhetoric and populist narratives, the securitisation of migration has the potential to obscure the more general requirement for migration governance that is both sustainable and inclusive. In order to strike a balance between maintaining sovereignty and protecting human rights, creative and collaborative solutions are required. Investing in technology that allows for humane border control, creating international collaboration to share the cost of migration, and adopting policies that address the core causes of migration, such as economic inequality and conflict, are some of the things that fall under this category. It is ultimately necessary for policies regarding border control to develop in order to reflect the interrelated nature of the modern world, which is characterised by the fact that the protection of national interests and the preservation of human dignity can coexist. The ability of states to maintain their sovereignty while simultaneously contributing to a global migration framework that is more just and equitable can be achieved by giving priority to ethical and pragmatic methods.

References

1. Al-Mutairi, B. A. (2022). National sovereignty and migration governance in the twenty-first century. *Journal of Global Migration Policy*, 14(2), 45–63.
2. Rahman, A. H., & Vaziri, L. S. (2021). Human rights frameworks and cross-border migration: Challenges for modern states. *International Review of Human Mobility*, 11(3), 78–96.
3. Kulkarni, S. D. (2023). Migration control and democratic accountability: A comparative political analysis. *Journal of Political Governance Studies*, 18(1), 24–42.



4. Mahmud, S. E., & Zulkarnain, M. F. (2020). Border security, refugee protection, and policy dilemmas in Asia. *Asian Journal of Migration and Society*, 9(4), 112–130.
5. Pranaditya, A. (2022). The politics of asylum: State interests and humanitarian obligations. *Global Affairs and Human Rights Review*, 13(2), 67–85.
6. Al-Shehri, N. A. (2024). Irregular migration and national identity: Emerging debates in migration politics. *International Journal of Political Sociology*, 16(1), 91–109.
7. Khosravi, R. A., & Nouri Mehr, K. (2021). Balancing border enforcement with human rights protections: Contemporary policy approaches. *Comparative Migration Studies Quarterly*, 12(3), 53–71.
8. Rajput, M. (2023). Refugee crises and state sovereignty: Lessons from regional migration regimes. *Journal of International Political Development*, 15(4), 136–154.
9. Iyer, A. V., & Putri, S. N. (2022). Migration governance in an era of globalization: Sovereignty, security, and rights. *Review of Global Policy Research*, 10(2), 81–99.
10. Wicaksana, R. H. (2024). Future trajectories of migration policy: Reconciling humanitarian commitments and national interests. *Contemporary Migration and Governance Review*, 17(1), 38–56.