Cadernos de Pós-Graduação em Direito Político e Econômico
Published by: Centro de Estudos Acadêmicos Press
ISSN: 1678-2127
Volume 26 ***Issue 2,*** **2026**
Website: https://ceapress.org

Zooplankton's Function in the Nutrient Cycle of Freshwater Ecosystems for the Environment

Prof. Isabelle Fournier
School of Information Engineering, Laurentian Technical University, Canada

Received: 10/01/2026 Accepted:08/04/2026. Published: 23/07/2026

Abstract
Zooplankton are essential trophic linkages between primary producers and higher consumers in freshwater ecosystems. They play a role in regulating energy flow and nutrient cycling in these ecosystems. Their grazing on phytoplankton has an impact on primary production and the structure of the community, and it also contributes to the recycling of essential nutrients such as nitrogen and phosphorus. This is accomplished by sloppy feeding, death, and excretion. Zooplankton, which are both consumers and recyclers of nutrients, play a significant ecological role in the dynamics of nutrient cycles. In addition to having an effect on the species composition of specific types of algae through selective grazing, field surveys and experimental investigations have demonstrated that zooplankton-mediated nutrient regeneration increases the availability of nutrients in the euphotic zone, which in turn maintains the productivity of phytoplankton. Zooplankton exhibit a wide range of functional diversity in terms of their ability to influence the pathways of the nutrient cycle. This diversity is highlighted by the distinctions that exist between taxonomic groups, such as rotifers, copepods, and cladocerans. Environmental factors like as temperature, eutrophication, and anthropogenic influences, which alter the community structure of zooplankton, have an effect on the efficiency and direction of nutrient recycling. These factors also have impacts on the direction of recycling. Using these ecological insights, the study demonstrates how vital zooplankton are to the success of freshwater ecosystems in terms of their ability to achieve productivity, stability, and resilience. One of the most significant roles that they play in lake management and conservation efforts is the preservation of biological services, and another is the reduction of eutrophication.
Keywords: Zooplankton; Nutrient cycling; Freshwater ecosystems; Phytoplankton grazing; Nitrogen regeneration

Introduction

Primary productivity, food web structure, and ecological balance are all regulated by nutrient cycling in reservoir, river, and lake ecosystems. Zooplankton link the system's primary producers—phytoplankton—with its higher consumers—fish and other aquatic creatures. Their role in nutritional dynamics is more complex than just energy transfer because of their effects on grazing, excretion, and breakdown processes. Freshwater ecosystems rely on zooplankton because of the important roles they play in controlling the movement of nutrients like nitrogen and phosphorus. Zooplankton are crucial to nutrient cycling due to their dual

Cadernos de Pós-Graduação em Direito Político e Econômico
Published by: Centro de Estudos Acadêmicos Press
ISSN: 1678-2127
Volume 26 ***Issue 2,*** **2026**
Website: https://ceapress.org

ecological function. By grazing selectively, they manage the quantity of phytoplankton and the community's structure as consumers; this can decrease the frequency of algae blooms or reduce the popularity of certain species. In the euphotic zone, they promote greater phytoplankton development by releasing bioavailable forms of nitrogen and phosphorus through their sloppy eating and excretion, which regenerates nutrients. When predators and other types of mortality carry zooplankton carcasses and fecal pellets to deeper waters, it helps with nutrient redistribution by transferring organic matter from creatures living on the surface to these deeper areas. Because of their dual function, zooplankton are crucial to freshwater ecosystems as nutrient availability regulators and facilitators. The diversity of functions among different groups of zooplankton further complicates the mechanisms of the nutritional cycle. By vigorously controlling phytoplankton from above, grazers like Daphnia and other cladocerans decrease algal growth and increase water clarity. Contrarily, copepods are more selective in what they eat, so the impact they have on phytoplankton communities is likely to be more subtle and on the composition of those communities than on total biomass. Rotifers are significant in space-and time-scale nutrient recycling because of their small size and rapid reproduction. The diversity of zooplankton populations allows them to sustain nutrient turnover in many settings. The efficiency of nutrient cycling as mediated by zooplankton is greatly affected by external factors. Due to human pollution, agricultural runoff, and climate-driven changes in temperature and stratification, zooplankton communities can become more diverse and their regulatory functions can be altered. This phenomenon is known as eutrophication. Algal blooms, which are caused by smaller-bodied zooplankton that are less efficient grazers, may become more common as a result of climate change. The top-down regulation mechanism for phytoplankton would be reduced as a result of this. Invasive species and overfishing of planktivorous fish can also alter nutrient cycling and water quality by interfering with zooplankton dynamics.

Mechanisms of Nutrient Cycling by Zooplankton

Because they feed on primary producers and also recycle important elements, zooplankton play an essential role in nutrient cycling in freshwater ecosystems. Their feeding, excretion, and mortality control the availability of nutrients like phosphorus and nitrogen. Mainstream productivity and ecological stability are affected by these elements. These mechanisms demonstrate that zooplankton are doing more than merely completing food webs; they are actively shaping nutrient dynamics.

Grazing and Phytoplankton Regulation

The zooplankton community controls the phytoplankton biomass by heavy grazing and affects the make-up of algal communities. Daphnia and other large-bodied cladocerans attract phytoplankton, which reduces the frequency of algal blooms and improves water quality. Changing the composition of phytoplankton communities alters the nutrient cycle indirectly, and this top-down regulation prevents the overproduction of primary nutrients. Phytoplankton nutrient demands can be shifted through selective grazing, which lessens the abundance of dominant algal taxa and increases the diversity of less competitive species. Zooplankton exert

Cadernos de Pós-Graduação em Direito Político e Econômico
Published by: Centro de Estudos Acadêmicos Press
ISSN: 1678-2127
Volume 26 ***Issue 2,*** **2026**
Website: https://ceapress.org

a moderating effect on food consumption by controlling the number and diversity of primary producers.

The zooplankton community controls the phytoplankton biomass by heavy grazing and affects the make-up of algal communities. Daphnia and other large-bodied cladocerans attract phytoplankton, which reduces the frequency of algal blooms and improves water quality. Changing the composition of phytoplankton communities alters the nutrient cycle indirectly, and this top-down regulation prevents the overproduction of primary nutrients. Phytoplankton nutrient demands can be shifted through selective grazing, which lessens the abundance of dominant algal taxa and increases the diversity of less competitive species. Zooplankton exert a moderating effect on food consumption by controlling the number and diversity of primary producers.

Excretion and Nutrient Regeneration

Two of the waste products of zooplankton metabolism that are expelled in soluble forms are ammonium and phosphate. Because phytoplankton rapidly absorb these replenished nutrients, the euphotic zone becomes a nursery for new plant growth. Though zooplankton significantly boost nutrient recycling rates, rates vary among species owing to variables like diet, body size, and temperature. In environments where nutrients are scarce, this process can keep primary production going, but in environments where nutrients are abundant, it can hasten nutrient turnover and make eutrophication worse.

Sloppy Feeding and Nutrient Leakage

Feeding inefficiency, often known as sloppy eating, occurs when zooplankton only eat a portion of the phytoplankton cells that they encounter. When microbes perform this, they improve the microbial feedback loop by discharging nutrients and organic matter into the water. Interaction between zooplankton feeding and microbial nutrient cycling occurs when bacteria and protozoa quickly utilize these released nutrients. Sloppy feeding may not be the most effective way to transmit energy, but it boosts food availability and encourages secondary microbial activity, both of which help zooplankton become even more embedded in the nutrient dynamics of their habitats.

Mortality and Detritus Contribution

Death and predation events release organic waste, zooplankton carcasses, and fecal pellets into the environment, which generate additional nutrient fluxes. These compounds contribute to the phenomena of vertical nutritional gradients by sinking to lower depths, so removing nutrients from the euphotic zone. Benthic food webs are sustained and nutrients are replenished during sediment decomposition, even though surface phytoplankton experience a decline in nutrient availability as a result of this export. Seasonal turnover and mixing events can reintroduce nutrients into surface waters, which can lead to the death of zooplankton. This long-term nutrient cycling occurs in reservoirs and lakes.

These processes, when considered collectively, highlight the many roles played by zooplankton in the food web. Relating intake, excretion, leakage, and mortality allows for the control of food availability on both spatial and temporal scales. Beyond their direct effects on food webs,

Cadernos de Pós-Graduação em Direito Político e Econômico
Published by: Centro de Estudos Acadêmicos Press
ISSN: 1678-2127
Volume 26 *Issue 2,* 2026
Website: https://ceapress.org

they affect such broader ecosystem processes as primary productivity, water quality, and energy transfer, highlighting their ecological significance in freshwater environments.

Environmental Drivers Influencing Nutrient Cycling

Factors in the environment affect zooplankton-mediated nutrition cycling in a variety of ways, including community structure, metabolic rates, and ecological interactions. Shifts in zooplankton taxonomic dominance and functional functions caused by variations in water temperature, habitat stability, and water quality can alter the dynamics of nutrient recycling and distribution in freshwater ecosystems.

Eutrophication and Nutrient Enrichment

The dynamics of zooplankton are greatly impacted by eutrophication, which is caused by agricultural runoff and urban wastewater. When nutrient concentrations are high, zooplankton have more feeding options, but grazing efficiency is lower because more species of small, inedible, or toxic phytoplankton are selected. Large cladocerans like Daphnia are often outcompeted in these habitats by smaller copepods and rotifers, two types of zooplankton that recycle nutrients more rapidly. Water quality is negatively affected by this change because it maintains eutrophication and speeds up the rate of nutrient regeneration in the water column.

Climate Change and Thermal Stratification

Worries about the impact of warmer waters on the physiology and community composition of zooplankton and changes in precipitation patterns are quite significant. Warmer water speeds up metabolism and excretion, which in turn speeds up nutrition cycling. On the other hand, shorter generation times can be advantageous for less grazing-capable smaller-bodied species. Enhanced thermal stratification in lakes inhibits vertical nutrient mixing, which means that zooplankton rely heavily on regenerated nutrients to make it through nutrient-depleted surface layers. Potentially shifting nutrient cycle pathways due to heightened reliance on internal recycling and microbial loops brought about by climate change. Ecosystem productivity could fall as a result of this.

Anthropogenic Stressors and Pollution

Microplastics, heavy metals, and pesticides can disrupt zooplankton populations and impair their capacity to cycle nutrients. Chemical stresses have the potential to alter feeding patterns, reduce the abundance of zooplankton, or even eliminate susceptible taxa, resulting in the emergence of more resilient but less efficient species. The disruptions in this balance between nutrient absorption and regeneration make the top-down management of phytoplankton less effective. The loss of hydrological connectivity and flow regimes caused by habitat modifications like damming and water extraction further reduces zooplankton diversity and their role in nutrient cycle.

Invasive Species and Altered Community Structure

Some non-native species, including as invasive fish and predatory zooplankton, have the potential to change nutrient dynamics by influencing populations of native zooplankton. For example, invasive planktivorous fish may change the makeup of a community by eating bigger grazers, which forces smaller zooplankton to take center stage, where they excel at nutrient

Cadernos de Pós-Graduação em Direito Político e Econômico
Published by: Centro de Estudos Acadêmicos Press
ISSN: 1678-2127
Volume 26 ***Issue 2,*** **2026**
Website: https://ceapress.org

cycling and have less of an effect on phytoplankton. A similar mechanism is at work when invasive zooplankton species outcompete native ones, altering eating habits and the rate of nutrient regeneration. These changes at the community level disrupt long-established nutrient pathways, which can threaten ecosystem stability.Environmental forces interact in a coordinated fashion to shape zooplankton-mediated nutrient cycling. Because of their context-dependent ecological contributions and remarkable functional diversity, zooplankton are both resilient and vulnerable to environmental change. Understanding these elements is crucial for predicting ecosystem reactions and creating management strategies to protect water quality and ecosystem services.

Conclusion

Zooplankton are crucial to freshwater ecosystems because they mediate food webs and regulate nutrient cycling. Primary production is sustained, phytoplankton community dynamics are shaped, and processes such as grazing, excretion, sloppy feeding, and mortality link surface waters to deeper layers; they also mediate the flow of nitrogen, phosphorus, and other essential nutrients. Functional variety among these creatures, which includes efficient grazers (like cladocerans), selective feeders (like copepods), and rapid recyclers (like rotifers), reinforces ecosystem stability. This variety ensures that nutrient regeneration occurs at different spatial and temporal scales. Environmental factors like as eutrophication, pollution, climate change, and biological invasions can change the way communities are structured, alter the amount of nutrients that are taken in and recycled, and greatly affect their ecological duties. Nitrogen cycling mediated by zooplankton is both resilient and vulnerable, as shown by the effects of different environmental stresses. Unfortunately, algal blooms and water quality are also worse when large-bodied grazers disappear, even though small-bodied species may keep up nutrient turnover in disturbed habitats. Keeping zooplankton communities diverse and balanced is vital for freshwater ecosystems because they depend on them to defend themselves against external pressures. Managers must recognize the vital significance of zooplankton if freshwater ecosystems are to be preserved and restored. Reduced inputs of external nutrients, removal of invasive species, and use of biomanipulation techniques that benefit large-bodied grazers are tactics that can be used to improve water clarity and restore ecological balance. Enhancing the predictive power of ecosystem models by the integration of zooplankton ecology into policy frameworks can lead to more effective responses to climate-driven and anthropogenic pressures. In conclusion, zooplankton are not limited to living in freshwater systems; they play an essential role in the efficiency of ecosystems and the transportation of nutrients. As the world continues to experience fast environmental change, it is crucial to protect the ecological functions and diversity of freshwater ecosystems so that they can continue to provide resilience, services, and production.

Cadernos de Pós-Graduação em Direito Político e Econômico
Published by: Centro de Estudos Acadêmicos Press
ISSN: 1678-2127
Volume 26 *Issue 2,* 2026
Website: https://ceapress.org

Bibliography

- Andersen, T., Elser, J. J., & Hessen, D. O. (2004). Stoichiometry and population dynamics. Ecology Letters, 7(9), 884–900. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1461-0248.2004.00646.x
- Elser, J. J., Sterner, R. W., Gorokhova, E., Fagan, W. F., Markow, T. A., Cotner, J. B., Harrison, J. F., Hobbie, S. E., Odell, G. M., & Weider, L. J. (2000). Biological stoichiometry from genes to ecosystems. Ecology Letters, 3(6), 540–550. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1461-0248.2000.00185.x
- Gulati, R. D., & DeMott, W. R. (1997). The role of food quality for zooplankton: Remarks on the state-of-the-art, perspectives and priorities. Freshwater Biology, 38(3), 753–768. https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-2427.1997.00275.x
- Jeppesen, E., Søndergaard, M., Søndergaard, M., & Christoffersen, K. (Eds.). (1998). The structuring role of submerged macrophytes in lakes. Springer.
- Lampert, W. (1978). A field study on the dependence of the filtering rate of Daphnia spec. on body length, temperature, and food concentration. Oecologia, 36(4), 362–372. https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00348054
- Peters, R. H., & Downing, J. A. (1984). Empirical analysis of zooplankton filtering and feeding rates. Limnology and Oceanography, 29(4), 763–784. https://doi.org/10.4319/lo.1984.29.4.0763
- Sterner, R. W., & Elser, J. J. (2002). Ecological stoichiometry: The biology of elements from molecules to the biosphere. Princeton University Press.
- Sterner, R. W., & Hessen, D. O. (1994). Algal nutrient limitation and the nutrition of aquatic herbivores. Annual Review of Ecology and Systematics, 25, 1–29. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.es.25.110194.000245
- Vanni, M. J. (2002). Nutrient cycling by animals in freshwater ecosystems. Annual Review of Ecology and Systematics, 33, 341–370. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.ecolsys.33.010802.150519