Cadernos de Pós-Graduação em Direito Político e Econômico
Published by: Centro de Estudos Acadêmicos Press
ISSN: 1678-2127
Volume 25 *Special Issue* 2025
Website: https://ceapress.org/index.php/cpgdpe/index

Corporate Accountability in the Green Era: Legal Imperatives for Sustainable Business Practices*

Elisha Lakra[1], Dr. Surya Saxena[2], Dr. Anand Singh Prakash [3], Mr. Aditya Shekhar[4]
[1,3,4]Assistant Professor of Law, The ICFAI University, Jharkhand
E-mail: [1]elishalakra0@gmail.com, [3]anandair03@gmail.com , [4]aadityasfj@gmail.com
[2]Assistant Professor of Law (Senior Scale), School of Law, UPES, Dehradun
[2]E-mail: surya.saxena@ddn.upes.ac.in

Abstract:
The contemporary global environmental crisis has transformed the discourse on corporate responsibility, shifting it from voluntary Corporate Social Responsibility (CSR) to legally enforceable Corporate Environmental Responsibility (CER). This paper critically examines the evolution of corporate accountability in the context of sustainability, tracing its development through international milestones such as the Brundtland Report (1987), Rio Declaration (1992), and Paris Agreement (2015). In India, this evolution has taken a distinctive legal trajectory through Section 135 of the Companies Act, 2013, the Securities and Exchange Board of India's (SEBI) Business Responsibility and Sustainability Report (BRSR) framework, and the proactive role of the National Green Tribunal (NGT). Landmark judicial decisions, including *Vellore Citizens' Welfare Forum v. Union of India* and *M.C. Mehta v. Union of India*, have further constitutionalized environmental protection, embedding the principles of sustainable development, precautionary principle, and polluter pays within corporate accountability. The study argues for strengthening legal imperatives through mandatory ESG audits, recognition of environmental harm in corporate liability, and incentives for green innovation. It concludes that the future of corporate governance lies in integrating ecological consciousness into strategic decision-making, ensuring that profitability aligns with planetary responsibility. Sustainable business, therefore, is no longer optional but a legal and moral necessity for corporate legitimacy and survival in the 21st century.

Keywords: Corporate Accountability, Sustainability, Environmental Governance, ESG Compliance, Green Corporate Governance.

Cadernos de Pós-Graduação em Direito Político e Econômico
Published by: Centro de Estudos Acadêmicos Press
ISSN: 1678-2127
Volume 25 ***Special Issue*** **2025**
Website: https://ceapress.org/index.php/cpgdpe/index

Introduction:

The Green Era and Corporate Accountability

The twenty-first century has witnessed an unprecedented shift in the discourse on corporate responsibility. No longer confined to voluntary acts of benevolence or philanthropic endeavors under the banner of Corporate Social Responsibility (CSR), the modern corporate world is being compelled to embrace a more robust and enforceable model of **corporate accountability**. This transformation is rooted in the recognition that voluntary commitments alone are inadequate to address the scale and urgency of the global environmental crisis. The **Green Era**—an era characterized by ecological consciousness, sustainability-oriented governance, and legally enforceable environmental obligations—demands that corporations operate not merely as profit-generating entities but as integral actors in global environmental stewardship.

From Traditional CSR to Corporate Accountability

Historically, CSR functioned as a framework through which companies demonstrated their commitment to society beyond profit motives. However, traditional CSR was often criticized for its voluntary nature, lack of transparency, and superficial impact—frequently reduced to reputation management rather than genuine environmental or social reform. The shift from CSR to corporate accountability represents a paradigmatic evolution: from "doing good" to being "answerable" for one's impact on society and the environment. While CSR allowed discretion, accountability imposes obligation, reinforced through governance structures, regulatory frameworks, and stakeholder scrutiny.

This shift reflects the growing consensus that corporations bear a legal and moral duty to mitigate their adverse environmental impacts. The rise of concepts such as ESG (Environmental, Social, and Governance) metrics, green governance, and sustainability reporting underscores the move from voluntary self-regulation toward binding responsibility. Governments, investors, and civil society now demand demonstrable compliance with environmental laws, ethical business practices, and sustainability goals.

Cadernos de Pós-Graduação em Direito Político e Econômico
Published by: Centro de Estudos Acadêmicos Press
ISSN: 1678-2127
Volume 25 *Special Issue* 2025
Website: https://ceapress.org/index.php/cpgdpe/index

Global Environmental Crisis as a Catalyst for Reform

The urgency of this transformation is underscored by the escalating global environmental crisis. Anthropogenic climate change, deforestation, pollution, and depletion of natural resources have created conditions that threaten planetary stability. The Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC) has repeatedly warned that immediate and concerted action is needed to prevent irreversible ecological damage. Within this context, corporations—being among the largest contributors to greenhouse gas emissions and natural resource consumption—are under increasing pressure to transform their operational paradigms.

The Paris Agreement (2015) and the United Nations Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs, 2015) have served as global compasses for sustainable development. SDG 12 (Responsible Consumption and Production) and SDG 13 (Climate Action) specifically call upon corporations to adopt sustainable practices and integrate environmental concerns into strategic planning. Similarly, international frameworks such as the OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises and the UN Guiding Principles on Business and Human Rights emphasize the responsibility of corporations to prevent, mitigate, and remedy adverse environmental and human rights impacts. These developments have collectively redefined the contours of corporate behavior, embedding sustainability as a core aspect of business legitimacy and competitiveness.

Defining Key Concepts: Corporate Accountability, Sustainability, and Green Governance

To critically examine this transformation, it is essential to delineate key terms. Corporate accountability refers to the obligation of corporations to answer for their decisions, actions, and outcomes before relevant stakeholders—ranging from shareholders and employees to communities, consumers, and regulators. It encompasses both legal accountability, ensured through enforceable laws and judicial oversight, and ethical accountability, guided by principles of fairness, transparency, and stewardship. In the environmental context, corporate accountability demands that businesses internalize the costs of ecological damage and adopt practices that contribute to sustainable development.

Sustainability, as defined by the Brundtland Commission (1987), involves "meeting the needs of the present without compromising the ability of future generations to meet their own needs."

Cadernos de Pós-Graduação em Direito Político e Econômico
Published by: Centro de Estudos Acadêmicos Press
ISSN: 1678-2127
Volume 25 ***Special Issue*** **2025**
Website: https://ceapress.org/index.php/cpgdpe/index

Corporate sustainability thus entails aligning business objectives with long-term environmental preservation, social equity, and economic viability. It signifies a strategic integration of ecological consciousness into every layer of corporate functioning—from supply chain management to product innovation and waste reduction.

Green governance represents the institutional and regulatory mechanisms that embed environmental sustainability into corporate decision-making. It includes frameworks such as environmental management systems, sustainability reporting standards (like GRI and TCFD), and green finance instruments (such as green bonds and carbon credits). Green governance ensures that corporations not only comply with environmental laws but also proactively pursue sustainable innovation and accountability through transparent disclosure and stakeholder engagement.

The Legal Dimension of Corporate Accountability

The legal architecture of corporate accountability is evolving globally. Jurisdictions are increasingly codifying sustainability obligations within corporate and environmental laws. For instance, the European Union's Corporate Sustainability Reporting Directive (CSRD) mandates comprehensive sustainability disclosures, while India's Business Responsibility and Sustainability Report (BRSR) framework under SEBI integrates sustainability into corporate governance. Such measures signify a shift from soft law principles to hard law obligations, recognizing that voluntary compliance has often fallen short of delivering substantive outcomes.

Legal accountability ensures that sustainability commitments are not reduced to rhetoric. Through binding legislation, regulatory oversight, and judicial intervention, corporations are compelled to adopt environmentally responsible behavior. Laws imposing penalties for environmental violations, mandating environmental audits, and requiring sustainability disclosures exemplify how legal systems can bridge the gap between policy aspiration and practical implementation.

Why Legal Accountability Is Essential for Corporate Sustainability

The central research question of this study - "Why is legal accountability essential for ensuring corporate sustainability in the 21st century?"—emerges from the realization that sustainability without accountability remains aspirational. Legal accountability performs multiple critical

Cadernos de Pós-Graduação em Direito Político e Econômico
Published by: Centro de Estudos Acadêmicos Press
ISSN: 1678-2127
Volume 25 *Special Issue* 2025
Website: https://ceapress.org/index.php/cpgdpe/index

functions in fostering sustainable corporate conduct. First, it creates enforceable standards that prevent greenwashing and hold corporations liable for environmental harm. Second, it promotes transparency and enables stakeholders, including consumers and investors, to make informed decisions. Third, it drives systemic change by incentivizing innovation in cleaner technologies, circular economy practices, and renewable energy transitions. Finally, legal accountability aligns corporate interests with public welfare, ensuring that economic growth does not occur at the expense of ecological integrity.

Without enforceable accountability mechanisms, sustainability risks being treated as a public relations exercise rather than a transformative business principle. The legal framework acts as both deterrent and enabler - deterring unsustainable practices and enabling corporate resilience through long-term environmental responsibility. It also fosters trust and legitimacy, both of which are indispensable in an era where consumers, regulators, and global markets increasingly value sustainability credentials.

The Green Era demands a redefinition of corporate purpose—one grounded not in the maximization of shareholder profit but in the harmonization of economic activity with environmental preservation and social welfare. As the global environmental crisis deepens, voluntary commitments have proven insufficient to ensure responsible corporate conduct. The emergence of legally enforceable corporate accountability frameworks signals a transformative shift toward sustainable capitalism. This research, therefore, seeks to explore the mechanisms, challenges, and implications of embedding legal accountability within corporate governance systems, asserting that such accountability is not merely desirable but indispensable for ensuring genuine and lasting corporate sustainability in the 21st century.

Evolution of Corporate Environmental Responsibility

The concept of corporate responsibility has undergone a significant transformation over the past century, evolving from voluntary philanthropic gestures to an integrated framework of environmental accountability grounded in law and policy. The emergence of Corporate Environmental Responsibility (CER) reflects a growing consensus that corporations, as major stakeholders in the economic and ecological landscape, bear a direct and enforceable duty to

Cadernos de Pós-Graduação em Direito Político e Econômico
Published by: Centro de Estudos Acadêmicos Press
ISSN: 1678-2127
Volume 25 *Special Issue* 2025
Website: https://ceapress.org/index.php/cpgdpe/index

protect the environment. This evolution has been shaped by international milestones, domestic legal reforms, and judicial activism, marking a shift from moral obligation to legal mandate.

From Philanthropy to Mandatory Compliance

In its early stages, corporate responsibility was equated with philanthropy—corporations were expected to contribute to social welfare through charitable donations or community development initiatives. This voluntary, ethics-based model dominated much of the twentieth century and was guided by moral imperatives rather than regulatory obligations. Companies engaged in social initiatives primarily to enhance their reputation or to demonstrate goodwill toward local communities. Environmental concerns, if addressed at all, were marginal to business strategy.

However, as industrialization accelerated and environmental degradation became more visible, the inadequacy of voluntary approaches became evident. Catastrophic incidents such as the Bhopal Gas Tragedy (1984) in India and the Exxon Valdez Oil Spill (1989) in Alaska underscored the devastating consequences of corporate negligence and the urgent need for enforceable accountability. The idea that corporations could self-regulate without binding obligations lost credibility. Consequently, the notion of corporate responsibility expanded to include environmental stewardship, resource management, and sustainable development as integral components of business ethics.

By the early 2000s, corporate responsibility had transitioned from voluntary social contribution to a mandatory compliance-based framework, particularly in the environmental domain. Governments and international bodies began enacting laws and regulations that required corporations to internalize environmental costs, conduct environmental impact assessments, and disclose sustainability information. This transformation paved the way for the emergence of Corporate Environmental Responsibility (CER) a framework that emphasizes the legal, ethical, and managerial obligations of corporations to mitigate environmental harm and promote ecological balance.

Cadernos de Pós-Graduação em Direito Político e Econômico
Published by: Centro de Estudos Acadêmicos Press
ISSN: 1678-2127
Volume 25 *Special Issue* 2025
Website: https://ceapress.org/index.php/cpgdpe/index

Global Milestones in Corporate Environmental Responsibility

The evolution of CER cannot be understood in isolation from the international environmental movement. A series of landmark reports, summits, and agreements established the foundation for integrating environmental concerns into corporate governance.

The Brundtland Report (1987)

The modern sustainability discourse began with the publication of the Brundtland Report, officially titled *"Our Common Future"*, by the World Commission on Environment and Development (WCED). The report introduced the now-classic definition of sustainable development as "development that meets the needs of the present without compromising the ability of future generations to meet their own needs." It underscored the interdependence between economic growth, social equity, and environmental protection. For corporations, the report signaled a paradigm shift—environmental responsibility was no longer a peripheral concern but a core business imperative. The Brundtland Report laid the conceptual groundwork for integrating sustainability into corporate strategy, influencing policies and frameworks that followed.

The Rio Declaration (1992)

The United Nations Conference on Environment and Development (UNCED), held in Rio de Janeiro in 1992, marked a turning point in global environmental governance. The Rio Declaration on Environment and Development articulated 27 principles that emphasized the shared but differentiated responsibilities of states and non-state actors, including corporations. Principle 10 advocated for public participation and access to information, while Principle 15 introduced the precautionary principle, mandating preventive action even in the absence of complete scientific certainty. Additionally, the Agenda 21 framework encouraged corporations to adopt cleaner production methods, environmental management systems, and voluntary codes of conduct. The Rio Declaration thus established the normative foundation for corporate environmental accountability and influenced later international initiatives like ISO 14001 environmental management standards.

The Paris Agreement (2015)

The Paris Agreement, adopted under the United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change (UNFCCC), represents the most comprehensive global effort to combat climate change.

Cadernos de Pós-Graduação em Direito Político e Econômico
Published by: Centro de Estudos Acadêmicos Press
ISSN: 1678-2127
Volume 25 ***Special Issue*** **2025**
Website: https://ceapress.org/index.php/cpgdpe/index

It obligates signatory countries to limit global temperature rise to well below 2°C above pre-industrial levels and pursue efforts to limit it to 1.5°C. While directed primarily at states, the agreement implicitly extends responsibility to corporations, particularly those in high-emission sectors such as energy, manufacturing, and transport. The Paris framework encourages businesses to adopt science-based targets, invest in renewable energy, and disclose climate-related financial risks. This has spurred the rise of ESG (Environmental, Social, and Governance) reporting and green finance mechanisms, reflecting a deeper institutionalization of CER within global corporate governance.

Collectively, these international milestones transformed environmental responsibility from an ethical aspiration into a legally and economically significant component of global business strategy. Corporations increasingly recognize that sustainability and profitability are not mutually exclusive but mutually reinforcing in the long term.

India's Trajectory in Corporate Environmental Responsibility

India's journey toward corporate environmental accountability mirrors this global evolution but carries distinct constitutional, judicial, and statutory dimensions. The Indian legal system has progressively expanded the scope of corporate obligations through a combination of legislative reform and judicial interpretation.

The Companies Act, 2013 — Section 135 on CSR

India became the first country in the world to legally mandate Corporate Social Responsibility (CSR) under Section 135 of the Companies Act, 2013. This provision requires companies meeting specified financial thresholds to allocate at least 2% of their average net profits toward CSR activities, including environmental sustainability, conservation of natural resources, and ecological balance. Schedule VII of the Act explicitly lists "ensuring environmental sustainability" as an eligible CSR activity. While CSR under the Act primarily focuses on social responsibility, it has effectively introduced a statutory basis for corporate environmental action, making sustainability a measurable and reportable corporate obligation.

SEBI's ESG Disclosure Framework

Cadernos de Pós-Graduação em Direito Político e Econômico
Published by: Centro de Estudos Acadêmicos Press
ISSN: 1678-2127
Volume 25 *Special Issue* 2025
Website: https://ceapress.org/index.php/cpgdpe/index

The Securities and Exchange Board of India (SEBI) has played a pivotal role in integrating environmental accountability into corporate governance. In 2021, SEBI introduced the Business Responsibility and Sustainability Report (BRSR) framework, which replaced the earlier Business Responsibility Report (BRR). The BRSR mandates the top 1,000 listed entities to disclose their environmental, social, and governance performance, including data on carbon emissions, waste management, energy efficiency, and biodiversity conservation. By linking sustainability disclosures with corporate transparency, SEBI has institutionalized CER as a compliance requirement rather than a voluntary initiative. This aligns India's corporate governance with global ESG standards and reinforces the notion that environmental responsibility is integral to financial and operational performance.

The National Green Tribunal (NGT) and Environmental Governance

The establishment of the National Green Tribunal (NGT) in 2010 further strengthened India's environmental jurisprudence. The NGT provides a specialized judicial forum for the expeditious resolution of environmental disputes and enforces corporate accountability for ecological harm. Through landmark decisions, the tribunal has imposed penalties, ordered restoration of damaged ecosystems, and mandated compliance with environmental standards. The NGT operates on principles such as polluter pays, precautionary principle, and sustainable development, ensuring that corporations cannot externalize the environmental costs of their activities. Its proactive role has not only enforced compliance but also set deterrent precedents for industries engaging in environmentally harmful practices.

Judicial Precedents Shaping Corporate Environmental Responsibility

Indian courts have played a transformative role in developing environmental jurisprudence that binds both state and private actors, including corporations.

Vellore Citizens' Welfare Forum v. Union of India (1996)

In this landmark case, the Supreme Court of India recognized the concepts of sustainable development, precautionary principle, and polluter pays principle as integral parts of Indian law. The case arose from industrial pollution caused by tanneries in Tamil Nadu, which had severely contaminated local water sources. The Court held that industries are under a legal obligation to compensate for the harm caused to the environment and affected individuals. This judgment effectively elevated environmental accountability from a policy directive to a constitutional and legal mandate, applying equally to corporations. It was established that development must balance economic growth with environmental preservation, laying a jurisprudential foundation for CER.

M.C. Mehta v. Union of India

The series of cases initiated by environmental activist M.C. Mehta further expanded the scope of corporate environmental responsibility. In the Oleum Gas Leak case (1986), the Supreme Court evolved the doctrine of absolute liability for hazardous industries, holding that enterprises engaged in inherently dangerous activities are liable to compensate for any harm caused, regardless of negligence or intent. This doctrine strengthened environmental accountability by eliminating the traditional defenses available under tort law. Subsequent M.C. Mehta cases—covering issues such as vehicular pollution, river contamination, and industrial emissions—have continuously reinforced the principle that environmental protection is an essential element of the right to life under Article 21 of the Constitution.

Together, these judicial pronouncements have transformed India's environmental governance framework, embedding environmental protection within the broader ambit of constitutional and corporate responsibility.

From CSR to CER: A Natural Progression

The emergence of Corporate Environmental Responsibility (CER) represents the natural and necessary progression of CSR in the Green Era. While CSR primarily addressed social and developmental concerns, CER focuses explicitly on the environmental dimension—ensuring that corporate activities are ecologically sustainable and legally accountable. CER moves beyond philanthropy to encompass measurable actions such as emission reduction, waste management, renewable energy adoption, and biodiversity preservation. It integrates environmental metrics into corporate governance, strategic planning, and financial reporting.

In the Indian context, CER is evolving through a hybrid framework of statutory mandates, regulatory disclosures, and judicial enforcement. The convergence of Section 135 CSR requirements, SEBI's BRSR framework, and the NGT's adjudicatory role signifies a maturing

Cadernos de Pós-Graduação em Direito Político e Econômico
Published by: Centro de Estudos Acadêmicos Press
ISSN: 1678-2127
Volume 25 *Special Issue* 2025
Website: https://ceapress.org/index.php/cpgdpe/index

system where environmental responsibility is no longer a discretionary corporate act but a legal and ethical imperative.

The evolution of corporate responsibility from philanthropy to environmental accountability reflects a profound transformation in the relationship between business and society. The global environmental crisis, coupled with international commitments like the Brundtland Report, Rio Declaration, and Paris Agreement, has redefined the boundaries of corporate conduct. In India, the integration of environmental principles into corporate law through the Companies Act, SEBI's ESG framework, and NGT's jurisprudence marks a decisive step toward enforceable sustainability. Judicial precedents such as *Vellore Citizens' Welfare Forum* and *M.C. Mehta* have further anchored environmental responsibility within constitutional and corporate obligations. As CER emerges as the defining paradigm of the Green Era, it symbolizes not only moral duty but also the legal inevitability of aligning corporate conduct with the principles of ecological justice and sustainable development.

Corporate Environmental Accountability (CEA) has emerged as a cornerstone of contemporary governance frameworks across the world. As environmental degradation and climate risks intensify, states and international organizations are increasingly embedding sustainability principles within legal, regulatory, and financial systems. The law now operates not merely as a deterrent but as an enabler of responsible business conduct, compelling corporations to internalize environmental costs and adopt sustainable practices. This section explores the evolution of legal and regulatory regimes governing corporate environmental accountability at both the international and Indian levels, while offering a comparative analysis of their effectiveness and enforcement mechanisms.

International Legal and Regulatory Framework

The global architecture for corporate environmental accountability is grounded in a mosaic of international treaties, conventions, and voluntary frameworks that guide state policy and corporate conduct. While most instruments are non-binding ("soft law"), they collectively create normative pressure that drives domestic legislation and corporate compliance.

The Stockholm Declaration (1972) – The Genesis of Environmental Law

Cadernos de Pós-Graduação em Direito Político e Econômico
Published by: Centro de Estudos Acadêmicos Press
ISSN: 1678-2127
Volume 25 *Special Issue* 2025
Website: https://ceapress.org/index.php/cpgdpe/index

The United Nations Conference on the Human Environment held in Stockholm in 1972 laid the foundation for international environmental law. The Stockholm Declaration recognized the interdependence between human rights and environmental protection, establishing the principle that "man has the fundamental right to freedom, equality, and adequate conditions of life in an environment of a quality that permits a life of dignity and well-being." It urged governments and corporations alike to prevent environmental harm and integrate ecological considerations into development planning. This conference marked the formal entry of environmental protection into the global legal and policy agenda, influencing the subsequent rise of environmental governance mechanisms.

The Brundtland Report and the Rio Declaration

The Brundtland Report (1987) introduced the concept of sustainable development, emphasizing that economic growth and environmental protection must coexist. Building upon this, the Rio Declaration on Environment and Development (1992) and Agenda 21 articulated principles such as the precautionary principle, polluter pays, and public participation—all of which underpin modern environmental accountability. Corporations, though not direct parties to these treaties, are influenced through national implementation mechanisms that incorporate these principles into domestic law and business regulations.

The Paris Agreement (2015) and Corporate Climate Responsibility

The Paris Agreement under the UNFCCC represents a paradigm shift from state-centric climate governance to a more inclusive framework involving non-state actors, including corporations. Article 4 emphasizes the need for all sectors of society to contribute to emission reductions, leading to the adoption of science-based targets and net-zero commitments by major global corporations. The Agreement's emphasis on transparency and reporting has inspired the development of frameworks such as the Task Force on Climate-related Financial Disclosures (TCFD) and the Carbon Disclosure Project (CDP), which institutionalize environmental accountability through data-driven reporting.

Multinational corporations are now expected to comply with climate disclosure norms under mechanisms like the EU Corporate Sustainability Reporting Directive (CSRD) and the U.S.

Cadernos de Pós-Graduação em Direito Político e Econômico
Published by: Centro de Estudos Acadêmicos Press
ISSN: 1678-2127
Volume 25 *Special Issue* 2025
Website: https://ceapress.org/index.php/cpgdpe/index

Securities and Exchange Commission's (SEC) proposed climate disclosure rules, both of which make environmental transparency a core component of corporate governance.

Soft Law Instruments and Voluntary Standards

In addition to treaties, several soft law mechanisms have shaped corporate environmental accountability:

- **OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises (1976, revised 2011):** Encourage responsible business conduct and environmental management.
- **UN Global Compact (2000):** Invites companies to align strategies with ten principles related to human rights, labor, environment, and anti-corruption.
- **ISO 14001 Environmental Management Standard:** Provides a framework for organizations to systematically reduce their environmental impact.
- **Global Reporting Initiative (GRI):** Sets global standards for sustainability reporting, facilitating transparency and comparability.

Although these instruments are non-binding, they establish global benchmarks for corporate environmental responsibility and have been adopted by thousands of companies worldwide. Collectively, they have shifted the discourse from voluntary goodwill to structured accountability, bridging the gap between ethics and enforceable compliance.

The Indian Legal and Regulatory Framework

India's legal response to environmental challenges has evolved through a combination of constitutional provisions, statutory enactments, judicial innovations, and regulatory interventions. These frameworks collectively ensure that corporate activities adhere to environmental norms, emphasizing both preventive and remedial accountability.

Constitutional Foundations

The Indian Constitution enshrines environmental protection as a fundamental duty and right.

- **Article 21** guarantees the right to life, which the Supreme Court has expansively interpreted to include the right to a clean and healthy environment.
- **Article 48A** directs the State to protect and improve the environment, while Article 51A(g) imposes a duty on every citizen to safeguard natural resources.

Cadernos de Pós-Graduação em Direito Político e Econômico
Published by: Centro de Estudos Acadêmicos Press
ISSN: 1678-2127
Volume 25 *Special Issue* 2025
Website: https://ceapress.org/index.php/cpgdpe/index

These provisions form the constitutional bedrock for corporate environmental accountability, empowering the judiciary to interpret environmental degradation as a violation of fundamental rights.

Legislative Instruments

India's environmental regulation is supported by a robust statutory framework that governs corporate conduct:

- **Environment (Protection) Act, 1986:** Serves as umbrella legislation, empowering the government to regulate all environmental activities and imposing penalties for violations.
- **Air (Prevention and Control of Pollution) Act, 1981** and **Water (Prevention and Control of Pollution) Act, 1974:** Establish Pollution Control Boards responsible for monitoring corporate emissions and effluents.
- **Hazardous Waste Management Rules, 2016** and **E-Waste Management Rules, 2016:** Impose compliance obligations on industries dealing with hazardous substances.
- **Energy Conservation Act, 2001:** Promotes energy efficiency in industrial operations, aligning corporate practices with India's climate commitments.

These laws collectively establish a preventive and punitive regime, holding corporations accountable for environmental harm and ensuring compliance through monitoring, licensing, and penalties.

Judicial and Institutional Mechanisms

Judicial intervention has been instrumental in embedding environmental accountability within India's corporate and constitutional framework. In *Vellore Citizens' Welfare Forum v. Union of India* (1996), the Supreme Court established the principles of polluter pays and precautionary principle as part of Indian law. Similarly, the *M.C. Mehta* line of cases—beginning with the Oleum Gas Leak case (1986)—introduced the doctrine of absolute liability, holding corporations strictly liable for harm caused by hazardous activities.

The National Green Tribunal (NGT), established under the NGT Act, 2010, functions as a specialized body ensuring speedy adjudication of environmental disputes. It has enforced corporate compliance, levied fines, and directed ecological restoration in numerous cases. The

Cadernos de Pós-Graduação em Direito Político e Econômico
Published by: Centro de Estudos Acadêmicos Press
ISSN: 1678-2127
Volume 25 *Special Issue* 2025
Website: https://ceapress.org/index.php/cpgdpe/index

Tribunal's approach, based on restorative justice, bridges the gap between environmental law and corporate regulation.

Comparative Analysis: International and Indian Regimes

Both international and Indian frameworks share the objective of promoting sustainable corporate conduct, yet they differ in their approach, enforcement, and institutional design.

Aspect	International Framework	Indian Framework
Nature	Largely soft law, voluntary compliance through treaties and standards (e.g., UN Global Compact, GRI).	Mix of soft and hard law—statutory obligations (Companies Act, 2013), judicial enforcement, and regulatory mandates (BRSR).
Enforcement	Relies on national implementation and market-driven incentives.	Legally enforceable through courts, NGT, and regulatory agencies.
Disclosure Requirements	EU CSRD, TCFD, and CDP emphasize non-financial reporting.	SEBI's BRSR mandates detailed ESG disclosures.
Accountability Mechanism	Primarily reputational; dependent on stakeholder pressure.	Legal sanctions, penalties, and judicial review mechanisms.
Philosophical Basis	Global public good and shared responsibility.	Constitutional right to a healthy environment under Article 21.

India's approach reflects a hybrid model—adopting international norms while ensuring enforceability through domestic legislation and judicial oversight. Unlike most jurisdictions where sustainability remains voluntary, India's statutory CSR and judicial precedents make environmental accountability a legal and moral imperative.

Challenges and the Way Forward

Despite its progressive framework, several challenges persist. Corporate compliance often remains procedural rather than substantive; environmental disclosures are sometimes selective or unreliable; and enforcement mechanisms suffer from limited capacity. The transition from CSR to

CER requires stronger integration of environmental principles into corporate strategy, mandatory third-party audits, and enhanced collaboration between regulators and civil society.

To align with international best practices, India may consider:

- Expanding mandatory ESG reporting to unlisted entities.
- Integrating carbon pricing mechanisms and climate risk disclosures.
- Strengthening NGT enforcement powers and resource capacity.
- Encouraging green innovation incentives through tax and credit mechanisms.

The Way Forward: Strengthening Legal Imperatives for Sustainable Business

The transition toward a sustainable and environmentally responsible corporate ecosystem requires more than voluntary compliance and demands a robust legal framework that embeds environmental stewardship within the architecture of business governance. As the Green Era unfolds, the focus must shift from reactive compliance to proactive accountability. The contemporary challenge lies not only in curbing ecological degradation but also in aligning corporate objectives with the imperatives of sustainable development, climate resilience, and intergenerational equity. This section outlines the necessary reforms and recommendations to strengthen corporate environmental accountability in India and globally, emphasizing that sustainable business is not merely an ethical choice but a legal and existential necessity.

Mandatory ESG Audits and Sustainability Reporting

One of the most critical steps in institutionalizing environmental accountability is the mandatory auditing and reporting of Environmental, Social, and Governance (ESG) performance. While SEBI's Business Responsibility and Sustainability Report (BRSR) framework represents a significant leap, it remains disclosure-oriented rather than enforcement-driven. The next phase must mandate third-party ESG audits analogous to financial audits, ensuring that sustainability data is accurate, verified, and actionable.

Legislation should require companies above specified thresholds to undergo annual ESG audits, covering carbon footprint assessment, waste management, energy utilization, and compliance with environmental standards. These audits should be conducted by certified environmental auditors registered with a regulatory body under SEBI or the Ministry of Corporate Affairs. Moreover, ESG

Cadernos de Pós-Graduação em Direito Político e Econômico
Published by: Centro de Estudos Acadêmicos Press
ISSN: 1678-2127
Volume 25 *Special Issue* 2025
Website: https://ceapress.org/index.php/cpgdpe/index

audit outcomes must be integrated into corporate credit ratings and investment decisions to embed sustainability into the financial ecosystem.

To ensure transparency, public access to ESG data should be made mandatory. Such disclosure would empower investors and consumers to make informed decisions, thereby creating market-based incentives for responsible conduct. Integrating ESG performance into board evaluation metrics and executive remuneration would further promote accountability at the highest levels of corporate decision-making.

Legal Recognition of Environmental Harm in Corporate Liability

The current corporate liability framework in India inadequately addresses the multifaceted nature of environmental harm. While doctrines such as absolute liability and polluter pays—articulated in landmark cases like M.C. Mehta v. Union of India—have laid the groundwork, there is a pressing need for statutory recognition of environmental harm within corporate liability regimes.

The Companies Act, 2013, should be amended to explicitly include environmental misconduct as a form of corporate wrongdoing, imposing penalties, debarment, or even criminal sanctions for egregious violations. Corporate liability should encompass both direct environmental damage (such as pollution, deforestation, and illegal extraction) and indirect harm resulting from unsustainable supply chains or carbon-intensive operations.

Furthermore, the introduction of a Corporate Environmental Accountability Code (CEAC) under the Ministry of Environment, Forest and Climate Change (MoEFCC) could provide uniform standards for environmental due diligence, reporting, and remediation. The code should also enable collective redressal mechanisms for affected communities, facilitating class-action suits against corporations for ecological harm.

By recognizing environmental harm as a cognizable corporate offense, the law would close the existing enforcement gaps and deter negligent practices. It would also operationalize the constitutional right to a clean and healthy environment under Article 21, transforming it from a judicially interpreted right into a codified corporate obligation.

Incentivizing Green Innovation and Circular Economy Models

While legal accountability is essential, the path to sustainability must also create positive incentives for green innovation and adoption of circular economy models. India's corporate sector,

Cadernos de Pós-Graduação em Direito Político e Econômico
Published by: Centro de Estudos Acadêmicos Press
ISSN: 1678-2127
Volume 25 ***Special Issue*** **2025**
Website: https://ceapress.org/index.php/cpgdpe/index

driven by entrepreneurial energy, can become a global leader in sustainable innovation if supported by appropriate fiscal and policy mechanisms.

Tax incentives and subsidies should be extended to corporations investing in renewable energy, sustainable packaging, waste-to-energy projects, and eco-friendly technologies. The government could introduce Green Innovation Credits (GICs)—tradable instruments awarded to companies that exceed prescribed sustainability targets. These credits could be offset against carbon taxes or other compliance obligations, effectively monetizing responsible behavior.

The integration of circular economy principles—reducing, reusing, and recycling materials—must be embedded within corporate operations. Laws could require Extended Producer Responsibility (EPR) for sectors like electronics, plastics, and textiles, compelling companies to manage their products' end-of-life impacts. Such models not only reduce environmental footprints but also promote long-term profitability by minimizing waste and resource dependency.

Collaboration between industry, academia, and government can further drive sustainable technological advancements. Establishing Green Technology Research Funds and Innovation Hubs would accelerate R&D in clean energy, carbon capture, and sustainable agriculture. Thus, environmental accountability must coexist with economic opportunity, positioning India as a hub for green entrepreneurship.

Strengthening NGT Powers and Public Interest Litigation Mechanisms

The National Green Tribunal (NGT) has played a transformative role in enforcing environmental accountability, but its effectiveness is often constrained by limited jurisdiction, enforcement capacity, and bureaucratic hurdles. Strengthening the NGT is therefore central to ensuring effective environmental justice and corporate compliance.

Firstly, the NGT's jurisdiction should be expanded to include corporate accountability for ESG violations, allowing it to adjudicate cases involving non-compliance with sustainability disclosures or environmental reporting norms. Secondly, enforcement mechanisms must be enhanced through direct execution powers for recovery of penalties and mandatory restoration orders.

Moreover, the procedural framework for Public Interest Litigations (PILs) in environmental matters should be streamlined. Citizens, NGOs, and civil society actors must have simplified access to justice for environmental grievances. Introducing a Green Ombudsman at the regional level could provide an accessible interface between affected communities and judicial bodies.

In addition, establishing special environmental benches in High Courts could ensure consistency and speed in adjudication, reducing the burden on the NGT. These judicial and quasi-judicial reforms would ensure that environmental law enforcement keeps pace with the evolving corporate landscape.

The Role of Stakeholders in Fostering Sustainable Practices

Achieving genuine corporate sustainability requires the active engagement of all stakeholders, regulators, investors, consumers, and civil society.

Regulators like SEBI, the MoEFCC, and the Ministry of Corporate Affairs must adopt a coordinated approach to align financial regulation with environmental objectives. The inclusion of sustainability metrics in corporate governance codes would embed environmental responsibility within the regulatory DNA of business operations.

Investors, particularly institutional and impact investors, have emerged as powerful catalysts for green transformation. By integrating ESG performance into investment criteria, they can direct capital flows toward responsible enterprises and penalize environmentally negligent ones. Similarly, consumers can influence corporate behavior through ethical consumption and advocacy for transparency.

Civil society organizations and academia play an essential role in monitoring, researching, and promoting best practices in sustainability. Collaborative platforms involving government, industry, and NGOs can ensure that environmental policy remains both participatory and adaptive.

Concluding Remarks

The Green Era signifies a defining moment in the evolution of corporate governance — one where sustainability and accountability have become the new cornerstones of responsible enterprise. This research has traced the progression of corporate responsibility from voluntary philanthropy to mandatory legal compliance, highlighting how global environmental challenges and international frameworks have reshaped the role of business in achieving sustainable development. Climate change, biodiversity loss, and ecological degradation have exposed the inadequacy of traditional

CSR, necessitating a deeper and enforceable form of corporate accountability grounded in legal and ethical obligations.

The emergence of Corporate Environmental Responsibility (CER) marks this transition from goodwill-driven initiatives to structured compliance mechanisms. Global milestones such as the Brundtland Report (1987), Rio Declaration (1992), and Paris Agreement (2015) have underscored the urgency of integrating environmental sustainability into corporate strategies. In India, this evolution has taken a distinctive legal trajectory — beginning with the Companies Act, 2013 (Section 135) mandating CSR spending, to SEBI's Business Responsibility and Sustainability Reporting (BRSR) framework, and the proactive adjudication of the National Green Tribunal (NGT). Together, these instruments have woven sustainability into the statutory and regulatory fabric of Indian corporate law.

Judicial pronouncements such as *Vellore Citizens' Welfare Forum v. Union of India* (1996) and *M.C. Mehta v. Union of India* have been instrumental in constitutionalizing environmental protection, affirming that the right to a clean environment is intrinsic to the right to life under Article 21. These cases not only established doctrines like polluter pays, precautionary principle, and absolute liability, but also redefined corporate accountability as a legal obligation to prevent, mitigate, and compensate for environmental harm. Thus, the judiciary, legislature, and regulatory agencies have collectively nurtured a hybrid framework of corporate environmental responsibility that combines compliance with ethical stewardship.

Looking ahead, the path to sustainable business must rest on stronger legal imperatives and transparent governance. Mandatory ESG audits, recognition of environmental harm in corporate liability, and incentives for green innovation can transform environmental compliance into a culture of sustainability. Strengthening the powers of the NGT, expanding public access to environmental justice, and engaging all stakeholders — regulators, investors, and consumers — will further institutionalize accountability. The envisioned model of green corporate governance integrates sustainability into boardroom decisions, strategic planning, and financial reporting, thereby harmonizing profit motives with planetary well-being.

Ultimately, corporate sustainability in the 21st century is not a matter of discretion but of survival. As environmental risks intensify, only those enterprises that internalize ecological responsibility

Cadernos de Pós-Graduação em Direito Político e Econômico
Published by: Centro de Estudos Acadêmicos Press
ISSN: 1678-2127
Volume 25 *Special Issue* 2025
Website: https://ceapress.org/index.php/cpgdpe/index

within their core operations will endure. The convergence of law, policy, and market forces must ensure that environmental accountability is not peripheral but central to corporate conduct. Sustainable business is no longer optional — it is both a legal and moral imperative that defines the legitimacy and longevity of modern corporations.

References

Brundtland Commission. (1987). *Our Common Future*. Oxford University Press.

Carroll, A. B. (1999). Corporate social responsibility: Evolution of a definitional construct. *Business & Society, 38*(3), 268–295.

Desai, R. (2023). Rethinking corporate accountability in the era of climate change: Legal imperatives for sustainable capitalism. *Environmental Law Review, 25*(2), 156–172.

Elkington, J. (1997). *Cannibals with Forks: The Triple Bottom Line of 21st Century Business*. Capstone Publishing.

Ghosh, S. (2018). Corporate responsibility and environmental governance in emerging economies: The Indian experience. *Asian Journal of Sustainability and Social Responsibility, 3*(1), 1–19.

Gunningham, N., Kagan, R. A., & Thornton, D. (2003). *Shades of Green: Business, Regulation, and Environment*. Stanford University Press.

Gupta, A., & Arora, N. (2020). The evolution of environmental accountability in Indian corporate law. *Journal of Indian Law and Society, 11*(2), 45–62.

International Finance Corporation (IFC). (2012). *Performance Standards on Environmental and Social Sustainability*.

KPMG. (2022). *The Time Has Come: The KPMG Survey of Sustainability Reporting 2022*. KPMG International.

M.C. Mehta v. Union of India, (1986) 2 SCC 176 (Oleum Gas Leak Case).

Ministry of Corporate Affairs (India). (2013). *The Companies Act, 2013*. Government of India.

Mishra, R. K., & Sarkar, S. (2019). ESG and corporate sustainability in India: Legal frameworks and challenges. *Indian Journal of Corporate Governance, 12*(1), 34–51.

National Green Tribunal. (2010). *The National Green Tribunal Act, 2010*. Government of India.

Organization for Economic Co-operation and Development (OECD). (2020). *OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises on Responsible Business Conduct*.

Porter, M. E., & Kramer, M. R. (2006). Strategy and society: The link between competitive advantage and corporate social responsibility. *Harvard Business Review, 84*(12), 78–92.

Securities and Exchange Board of India (SEBI). (2021). *Business Responsibility and Sustainability Report (BRSR): Framework and Guidance Note*.

Sharma, P., & Ruud, A. (2003). On the path to sustainability: Integrating social dimensions into the research and practice of environmental management. *Business Strategy and the Environment, 12*(4), 205–214.

Singh, A. K. (2021). Corporate environmental responsibility in India: Law, policy, and practice. *Environmental Policy and Law, 51*(3), 223–236.

United Nations Development Programme (UNDP). (2021). *Human Development Report 2021/22: Uncertain Times, Unsettled Lives – Shaping Our Future in a Transforming World*.

United Nations Environment Programme (UNEP). (2022). *Global Environment Outlook 7: Living Well within Planetary Boundaries*.

United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change (UNFCCC). (2015). *Paris Agreement*.

United Nations Global Compact. (2014). *Guide to Corporate Sustainability: Shaping a Sustainable Future*.

United Nations. (1992). *Rio Declaration on Environment and Development*. UN Conference on Environment and Development, Rio de Janeiro.

Vellore Citizens' Welfare Forum v. Union of India, (1996) 5 SCC 647.

World Bank. (2020). *State and Trends of Carbon Pricing 2020*. World Bank Publications.